arXiv:1507.02867v1 [math.AG] 10 Jul 2015

A GENERALIZATION OF PRINCIPAL BUNDLES WITH A PARABOLIC OR LEVEL STRUCTURE

NIKOLAI BECK

Abstract. We define a parameter dependent notion of stability for principal bundles with a certain local decoration, which generalizes both parabolic and level structures, and construct their coarse moduli space. A necessary technical step is the construction of the moduli space of tuples of vector bundles with a global and a local decoration, which we call decorated tumps. We introduce a notion of asymptotic stability for decorated tumps and show, that stable decorated principal bundles can be described as asymptotically stable decorated tumps.

Introduction

Motivation. Let X be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$ and x_0 a closed point in X. There are different examples of vector bundles with a decoration over x_0: A parabolic structure on a vector bundle E is a weighted flag in the fiber over x_0. By a generalization of the Narasimhan–Seshadri theorem there is a bijection between stable parabolic vector bundles on X and irreducible unitary representations of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ [16]. A level structure of E is a completed homomorphism $E_{|x_0} \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{rk}(E)}$. These objects play an important role in the compactification of the stack of shtukas using GIT (see [12]). In our previous paper [2] we constructed the moduli space of decorated vector bundles, which generalize both examples. The aim of this article is to establish similar results for decorated principal bundles.

Let G be an affine reductive group and σ a representation. We call a pair of a principal G-bundle P and a point s in the associated bundle P_σ over x_0 a *decorated principal bundle*. We define a notion of stability for decorated principal bundles, depending on a character of G and positive rational number. The main result is the existence of the (projective) moduli space of (semi-)stable decorated principal bundles (see Theorem 3.8 for a precise statement).

If $Q \subset G$ is a parabolic subgroup and σ is the natural action of G on the generalized flag variety G/Q, then a decorated principal bundle is a parabolic principal bundle. Our construction thus generalizes the result of Heinloth and Schmitt [9], who constructed the moduli space of parabolic principal bundles with semisimple structure group.

If σ is the action of G on its wonderful compactification $\bar{G}$, then a decorated principal bundle is a principal bundle with a level structure. In analogy to the vector bundle case these should be useful for the compactification of the stack of G-shtukas via GIT.

Outline. The construction of the moduli space is carried out following the strategy for principal bundles explained in [15]. In Section 1 we introduce the necessary notation for tuples of vector bundles, before we construct the moduli space of decorated tumps in Section 2. The construction is very similar to the construction of moduli space of decorated swamps from [2], and we focus on the differences. Additionally, we introduce the notion of asymptotic stability and show, that for a large enough parameter the notion of stability is equivalent to that of asymptotic stability. This generalizes results from [4].

In Section 3 we fix a closed embedding of G into a product of general linear groups. This allows to describe a decorated principal bundle as a tuple of vector bundles with a reduction of the structure group and a local decoration. For technical reasons we need to encode this reduction as a section in an associated projective bundle constructed with a homogeneous representation. This leads to the notion of decorated tumps. We show, that stability of a decorated principal bundle is equivalent to asymptotic stability of the corresponding decorated tump. The moduli space is then constructed as a GIT-quotient of a certain parameter space.

Finally, Section 4 contains the examples of decorated principal bundles, namely parabolic principal bundles and principal bundles with a level structure.

Notation and Conventions. In this work we will identify a geometric vector bundle E with its sheaf of sections. If F is a subsheaf of E, the subbundle generically generated by F is

$$\ker(E \to (E/F)/T)\,,$$

where T is the torsion subsheaf of E/F. We denote by $\mathbb{P}(E)$ the hyperplane bundle $\mathrm{Proj}(\mathrm{Sym}^* E)$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we set $[x]_+ := \max\{x, 0\}$. If X and Y are schemes, we denote by pr_X and pr_Y the canonical projection from the product $X \times Y$ to X and Y respectively.

Acknowledgement. This article presents the main result of the author's PhD thesis [3]. The author would like thank his advisor A. Schmitt for his guidance.

1. Preliminaries

In order to fix the notation we recall some facts about split vector bundles.

1.1. **Split Vector Spaces.** Let T be a finite index set.

Definition 1.1. A *T-split vector space* is T-tuple of complex vector spaces $V = (V^t, t \in T)$. A *homomorphism* $f : V \to W$ of T-split vector spaces is a tuple of homomorphisms $(f^t : V^t \to W^t, t \in T)$.

The category of T-split vector spaces is an abelian category. The *dimension vector* of a T-split vector space V is the tuple $\underline{d} := (\dim(V^t), t \in T)$. Its automorphism group is

$$\mathrm{GL}_T(V) := \prod_{t\in T} \mathrm{GL}(V^t) \cong \mathrm{GL}(\underline{d}, \mathbb{C}) := \prod_{t\in T} \mathrm{GL}(d_t, \mathbb{C})\,.$$

For positive rational numbers κ_t, $t \in T$, the *$\underline{\kappa}$-dimension* of V is $\dim_{\underline{\kappa}}(V) := \sum_{t\in T} \kappa_t \dim(V^t)$. We also define

$$\mathrm{SL}_T^{\underline{\kappa}}(V) := \left\{ (g_t, t \in T) \in \mathrm{GL}_T(Y) \;\middle|\; \prod_{t\in T} \det(g_t)^{\kappa_t} = 1 \right\}.$$

Remark 1.2. Suppose $\underline{\kappa}$ is a T-tuple of positive integers. We call $V^{\oplus\underline{\kappa}} := \bigoplus_{t\in T} V^{t^{\oplus\kappa_t}}$ the *$\underline{\kappa}$-total space* of V. There is a natural embedding

$$\iota_{\underline{\kappa}} : \mathrm{GL}_T(V) \to \mathrm{GL}(V^{\oplus\underline{\kappa}})\,.$$

Note that we have $\dim_{\underline{\kappa}}(V) = \dim(V^{\oplus\underline{\kappa}})$ and $\mathrm{SL}_T^{\underline{\kappa}}(V) = \iota_{\underline{\kappa}}^{-1}(\mathrm{SL}(V^{\oplus\underline{\kappa}}))$.

Definition 1.3. A *weighted flag* of length k of a T-split vector space V is a pair $(V_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$, where $V_\bullet$ is a flag $0 = V_0 \subset \ldots \subset V_{k+1} = V$ of T-split subspaces and $\underline{\alpha}$ is a k-tuple of positive rational numbers.

Given a one-parameter subgroup λ of $\mathrm{SL}_T^{\underline{\kappa}}(V)$, there are a number k, weights $\gamma_i < \ldots < \gamma_{k+1}$ and a decomposition of V into T-split eigenspaces V^i, $1 \le i \le k+1$, such that $\lambda(c) \cdot v = c^{\gamma_i} v$ for all $t \in T$, $v \in (V^i)^t$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$. We define the associated weighted flag $(V_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ of λ by setting $V_j := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{j} V^i$ and $\alpha_j := (\gamma_{j+1} - \gamma_j)/\dim_{\underline{\kappa}}(V)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$.

Conversely, if $(V_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ is a weighted flag, we choose a decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k+1} V^i$, such that $V_j = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{j} V^i$. Furthermore we define

$$\gamma_i := \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j \dim_{\underline{\kappa}}(V_j) - \sum_{j=i}^{k} \alpha_j \dim_{\underline{\kappa}}(V)\,, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, k+1\,.$$

Let m be the least common denominator of $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{k+1}$ and define a one-parameter subgroup λ of $\mathrm{SL}_T^{\underline{\kappa}}(V)$ by $\lambda(c) \cdot v := c^{m\gamma_i} v$ for $v \in V^i$, $1 \le i \le k+1$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Then $(V_\bullet, m\underline{\alpha})$ is the associated weighted flag of λ

Definition 1.4. A representation $\rho : \mathrm{GL}(\underline{d}, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is *homogeneous* of degree γ, if $\rho(c \cdot \mathrm{id}) = c^\gamma \,\mathrm{id}_V$ for all $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Proposition 1.5. *Let W be a T-split vector space, $\underline{\kappa} \in \mathbb{Z}^T_{>0}$ and $\rho : \mathrm{GL}_T(W) \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ a homogeneous representation of degree γ. Then there are natural numbers a, b, c with $\gamma = a - c \dim_{\underline{\kappa}}(W)$, such that V is a direct summand of the representation*

$$W^{\oplus\underline{\kappa}}_{a,b,c} := \left(W^{\oplus\underline{\kappa}\otimes a}\right)^{\oplus b} \otimes \left(\bigwedge^{\dim_{\underline{\kappa}}(W)} W^{\oplus\underline{\kappa}} \right)^{\otimes -c} .$$

Proof. This is Proposition 2.5.1.2 in [15]. □

1.2. **Split Vector Bundles.** Let X be a smooth projective curve over the complex numbers and T a finite index set.

Definition 1.6. A *T-split sheaf* on X is a tuple of coherent sheaves $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}^t, t \in T)$. A *morphism* $f : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ of T-split sheaves is tuple of morphisms $(f^t : \mathcal{F}^t \to \mathcal{G}^t, t \in T)$.

The *rank vector* of a T-split sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is $\underline{r}(\mathcal{F}) = (\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F}^t), t \in T)$, its *degree vector* is $\underline{d}(\mathcal{F}) = (\deg(\mathcal{F}^t), t \in T)$.

Remark 1.7. The category of T-split sheaves is an abelian category.

Definition 1.8. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a T-split sheaf, $\underline{\kappa} \in \mathbb{Q}^T_{>0}$ and $\underline{\chi} \in \mathbb{Q}^T$. The *$\underline{\chi}$-rank* and the *$(\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-degree* of $\mathcal{F}$ are

$$\mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\chi}}(\mathcal{F}) := \sum_{t\in T} \chi_t \,\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F}^t) , \qquad \deg_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(\mathcal{F}) := \sum_{t\in T} \left(\kappa_t \deg(\mathcal{F}^t) + \chi_t \,\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F}^t)\right) ,$$

respectively. If $\mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\chi}}(\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$, then the *$(\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-slope* of $\mathcal{F}$ is

$$\mu_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(\mathcal{F}) := \frac{\deg_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(\mathcal{F})}{\mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(\mathcal{F})} .$$

Remark 1.9. Suppose $\underline{\kappa}$ is T-tuple of positive integers. Then, the rank of the *$\underline{\kappa}$-total sheaf* $\mathcal{F}^{\oplus\underline{\kappa}} := \bigoplus_{t\in T} \mathcal{F}^{t\,\oplus\kappa_t}$ is given by $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F}^{\oplus\underline{\kappa}}) = \mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(\mathcal{F})$.

Definition 1.10. A *T-split vector bundle* is a T-split sheaf $E = (E^t, t \in T)$, such that for every $t \in T$ the sheaf E^t is a vector bundle. A *morphism* of T-split vector bundles is a morphism of T-split sheaves.

Remark 1.11. The datum of a T-split vector bundle with rank vector $\underline{r}$ is equivalent to the datum of principal bundle with structure group $\mathrm{GL}(\underline{r}, \mathbb{C})$.

Definition 1.12. A T-split vector bundle E is *$(\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable* if every T-split proper subsheaf $\mathcal{F}$ with $\mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$ satisfies the condition

$$\mu_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(\mathcal{F})(\leq)\mu_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E) .$$

Remark 1.13. (i) If $|T| = 1$, then a T-split vector bundle E is nothing but an ordinary vector bundle, and E is $(\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable if and only if E is (semi-)stable as a vector bundle.

(ii) As in the case of vector bundles one can show that a T-split vector bundle is $(\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable if and only if $\mu_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(F)(\leq)\mu_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E)$ holds for every non-trivial proper T-split subbundle $F \subset E$.

(iii) Because the $\underline{\kappa}$-rank and the $(\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-degree are additive for short exact sequences, we get

$$\mu_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(F) < \mu_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E) + C \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \mu_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E) < \mu_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E/F) + C\frac{\mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(F)}{\mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(E/F)}$$

for all T-split subbundles $F \subset E$ with $\mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(F) \neq 0 \neq \mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(E/F)$.

(iv) Because the category of T-split sheaves is abelian, there exists a unique Harder–Narasimhan-filtration of a T-split vector bundle E. This allows us to define the maximal and the minimal slope $\mu_{\max}(E) := \mu_{\max,\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E)$ and $\mu_{\min}(E) := \mu_{\min,\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E)$ respectively.

Definition 1.14. A *weighted flag* of a T-split vector bundle E is a flag of T-split subbundles $E_\bullet$ of length $l = \mathrm{l}(E_\bullet)$ together with a *weight vector* $\underline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Q}^l_{>0}$.

For a weighted flag $(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ we set

$$M_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}) := \sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{l}(E_\bullet)} \alpha_j \left(\deg_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E) \operatorname{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(E_j) - \deg_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_j) \operatorname{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(E) \right) .$$

It is obvious, that a T-split vector bundle E is (semi-)stable if and only if the condition

$$M_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})(\geq)0$$

holds for every weighted flag $(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ of E.

Lemma 1.15. *Let $E \neq 0$ be a T-split vector bundle and set $T(E) := \{t \in T \mid E^t \neq 0\} \subset T$.*

(i) *If E is $(\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-stable, then $|T(E)| = 1$.*

(ii) *If E is $(\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-semistable, then for every index $t \in T(E)$ the vector bundle E^t is semistable of slope $\mu(E^t) = \mu_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E) - \chi_t/\kappa_t$.*

Proof. Let $t_0 \in T(E)$ and consider the T-split vector bundle $F = (F^t, t \in T)$ with $F^{t_0} := E^{t_0}$ and $F^t := 0$ for $t \neq t_0$.

(i) If $|T(E)| > 1$, then F is both a proper subbundle and a proper quotient of E, so E cannot be $(\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-stable.

(ii) If E is $(\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-semistable, we find $\mu_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E) = \mu_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(F) = \mu(E^{t_0}) + \frac{\chi_{t_0}}{\kappa_{t_0}}$. □

Let us abbreviate

$$m(\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi}) := \min \{\chi_t/\kappa_t \mid t \in T\} , \qquad M(\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi}) := \max \{\chi_t/\kappa_t \mid t \in T\} .$$

For a T-split vector bundle E we define

$$h^0_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E) := \sum_{t \in T} \left(\kappa_t h^0(E^t) + \chi_t \operatorname{rk}(E^t) \right)$$

and $h^0_{\underline{\kappa}}(E) := h^0_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{0}}(E)$. Furthermore, we set $[x]_+ := \max\{x, 0\}$. As a generalization of the Le Potier–Simpson estimate ([11], Lemma 7.1.2) we find:

Proposition 1.16. *Let E be a T-split vector bundle.*

(i) *If E is semistable, we have*

$$h^0_{\underline{\kappa}}(E) \leq \operatorname{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(E) \left[\mu_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E) - m(\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi}) + 1 \right]_+ .$$

(ii) *An arbitrary T-split vector bundle E of $\underline{\kappa}$-rank r satisfies*

$$h^0_{\underline{\kappa}}(E) \leq (r-1) \left[\mu_{\max}(E) - m(\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi}) + 1 \right]_+ + \left[\mu_{\min}(E) - m(\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi}) + 1 \right]_+ .$$

Proof. (i) By Lemma 1.15, (ii), and the Le Potier–Simpson estimate for semistable vector bundles we find $h^0(E^t) \leq \operatorname{rk}(E^t)[\mu(E^t) + 1]_+$ for every $t \in T$. Then, the the explicit formula for the slope of E^t the result.

(ii) Let $E_\bullet$ be the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of E. From part (i) we get

$$\begin{aligned} h^0_{\underline{\kappa}}(E) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} h^0_{\underline{\kappa}}(E_i/E_{i-1}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(E_i/E_{i-1}) \left[\mu_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_i/E_{i-1}) - m(\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi}) + 1 \right]_+ . \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_i/E_{i-1}) \leq \mu_{\max}(E)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, and $\operatorname{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(E/E_{n-1}) \geq 1$, the claim follows. □

2. MODULI OF DECORATED TUPLES OF VECTOR BUNDLES

In this section we define (semi-)stable decorated tumps. The main results are the existence of their moduli space and the equivalence of asymptotic stability with stability for a large enough parameter. Since the constructions and proofs are similar to those for the case of decorated swamps in [2, 4], we will only give an outline of this construction.

2.1. **Stable Decorated Tumps.** Let $\underline{r}$ be a tuple of positive integers and $\rho : \mathrm{GL}(\underline{r}, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ a representation. A T-split vector bundle E of rank vector $\underline{r}$ corresponds to $\mathrm{GL}(\underline{r}, \mathbb{C})$-bundle P. We denote by E_ρ the associated vector bundle $P \times^\rho V$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\rho : \mathrm{GL}(\underline{r}, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{GL}(V_1)$ and $\sigma : \mathrm{GL}(\underline{r}, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{GL}(V_2)$ be two homogeneous representations. A *σ-decorated ρ-tump* of type $(\underline{d}, l)$ is a tuple (E, L, φ, s), where E is a split vector bundle with $\mathrm{rk}(E^t) = r_t$ and $\deg(E^t) = d_t$, $t \in T$, L is a line bundle of degree l on X, $\varphi : E_\rho \to L$ is a non-trivial homomorphism and $s \in E^\vee_{\sigma|\{x_0\}}$ is a point.

Two σ-decorated ρ-tumps (E, L, φ, s) and (E', L', φ', s') are isomorphic if there are isomorphisms $f : E \to E'$, $h : L \to L'$ and a number $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$, such that $\varphi' \circ f_\rho = h \circ \varphi$ and $s' \circ f_{\sigma|\{x_0\}} = cs$. Here, $f_\rho : E_\rho \to E'_\rho$ and $f_\sigma : E_\sigma \to E'_\sigma$ are the isomorphisms induced by f.

In the following we will fix two homogeneous representations ρ and σ as well as a degree vector $\underline{d}$ and a number l, and refer to σ-decorated ρ-tumps of type $(\underline{d}, l)$ simply as decorated tumps.

A weighted flag $(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ of a T-split vector bundle E induces weighted flags $(E_{\rho,\bullet}, \underline{\alpha}_\rho)$ and $(E_{\sigma,\bullet}, \underline{\alpha}_\sigma)$ of E_ρ and E_σ respectively (see Definition 2.8 in [2]). Restricting these to the generic point $\eta \in X$ and to x_0 we obtain flags $\mathbb{E}_\bullet := E_{\rho,\bullet|\{\eta\}}$ and $F_\bullet := E_{\sigma,\bullet|\{x_0\}}$ respectively. We set

$$\mu_1(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, \varphi) := \mu(E_{\bullet,\rho}, \underline{\alpha}_\rho, [\varphi])\,, \qquad \mu_2(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, s) := \mu(E_{\bullet,\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}_\sigma, [s])\,,$$

where $[\varphi] \in \mathbb{P}(E_{\rho|\eta})$ and $[s] \in \mathbb{P}(E_{\sigma|\{x_0\}})$ denote the points induced by φ and σ, respectively.

Definition 2.2. We call a σ-decorated ρ-tump $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-*(semi-)stable* if the condition

$$M_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}) + \delta_1 \mu_1(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, \varphi) + \delta_2 \mu_2(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, s) (\geq) 0$$

holds for every weighted flag $(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ of E.

Remark 2.3. (i) The stability condition remains unchanged if one substitutes $\underline{\kappa}$, $\underline{\chi}$, δ_1 and δ_2 by $\underline{\kappa}' := c\underline{\kappa}$, $\underline{\chi}' := c\underline{\chi}$, $\delta_1' := c^2\delta_1$ and $\delta_2' := c^2\delta_2$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. We may thus assume that $\underline{\kappa}$ is integral.

(ii) Furthermore, $M_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}$ is unchanged under the replacement $\underline{\chi} \mapsto \underline{\chi} + c\underline{\kappa}$ for $c \in \mathbb{Q}$. One can choose, e.g., $\mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\chi}}(E) = 0$ or $\deg_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E) = 0$. We will not use this in the following.

Remark 2.4. Let $W = (\mathbb{C}^{r_t}, t \in T)$. Since ρ and σ are homogeneous, there are numbers $a_m, b_m, c_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, such that V_m is a direct summand of $W^{\oplus \underline{\kappa}}_{a_m,b_m,c_m}$, $m = 1, 2$. Thus, there are surjections $p_1 \colon E^{\oplus \underline{\kappa}}_{a_1,b_1,c_1} \to E_\rho$ and $p_2 \colon E^{\oplus \underline{\kappa}}_{a_2,b_2,c_2} \to E_\sigma$. For a flag $E_\bullet$ of E, $m = 1, 2$ and a tuple $\underline{i} \in I_m := \{1, \ldots, l\}^{a_m}$ we set

$$E^{\otimes \underline{i}} := (E^{\oplus \underline{\kappa}}_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes E^{\oplus \underline{\kappa}}_{i_{a_m}})^{\oplus b_m} \otimes \left(\bigwedge^r E^{\oplus \underline{\kappa}} \right)^{\otimes -c_m} \subset E^{\oplus \underline{\kappa}}_{a_m,b_m,c_m}$$

with $r := \mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(E)$. Then, one finds

$$\mu_1(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, \varphi) = -\min \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{l}(\underline{\alpha})} \alpha_j (a_1 \mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(E_j) - r\nu_j(\underline{i})) \;\middle|\; (\varphi \circ p_1)_{|E^{\otimes \underline{i}}} \neq 0\,, \underline{i} \in I_1 \right\}, \tag{1}$$

$$\mu_2(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, s) = -\min \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{l}(\underline{\alpha})} \alpha_j (a_2 \mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(E_j) - r\nu_j(\underline{i})) \;\middle|\; (s \circ p_2)_{|E^{\otimes \underline{i}}_{|x_0}} \neq 0\,, \underline{i} \in I_2 \right\} \tag{2}$$

with $\nu_j(\underline{i}) := \#\{i \in \underline{i} \mid i \leq j\}$.

2.2. **S-Equivalence.** Let (E, L, φ, s) be a $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-semistable decorated tump. The associated graded bundle of a flag $E_\bullet$ of E is the T-split vector bundle

$$E_{\mathrm{gr}} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\mathrm{l}(E_\bullet)} E_i / E_{i-1} = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\mathrm{l}(E_\bullet)} E^t_i / E^t_{i-1}, t \in T \right).$$

The flag $E_\bullet$ also induces flags $E_{\rho,\bullet}$ of E_ρ and $E_{\sigma,\bullet}$ of E_σ. One checks that $(E_\rho)_{\mathrm{gr}} = (E_{\mathrm{gr}})_\rho$ and $(E_\sigma)_{\mathrm{gr}} = (E_{\mathrm{gr}})_\sigma$ (see [2], §3.3). Set $i_0 := \min\{i = 1, \ldots, \mathrm{l}(E_{\rho,\bullet}) \mid \varphi_{|E_{\rho,i}} \neq 0\}$ and $j_0 := \min\{j = 1, \ldots, \mathrm{l}(E_{\sigma,\bullet}) \mid s_{|E_{\sigma,j|\{x_0\}}} \neq 0\}$. Then, the restrictions $\varphi_{|E_{\rho,i_0}}$ and $s_{|E_{\sigma,j_0}}$ define non-trivial homomorphisms

$$\varphi_0 : E_{\rho,i_0} / E_{\rho,i_0-1} \to L \qquad s_0 : E_{\sigma,j_0} / E_{\sigma.j_0-1} \to \mathbb{C}\,.$$

Let $\varphi_{\mathrm{gr}} : E_{\rho,\mathrm{gr}} \to L$ and $s_{\mathrm{gr}} : E_{\sigma,\mathrm{gr}} \to \mathbb{C}$ denote the composition of these homomorphisms with the natural projections. We call

$$\mathrm{df}_{(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha})}(E,L,\varphi,s) := (E_{\mathrm{gr}},L,\varphi_{\mathrm{gr}},s_{\mathrm{gr}})$$

the *admissible deformation* of (E,L,ψ,s) along the flag $(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha})$.

Definition 2.5. We call a weighted flag $(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha})$ of E *critical* with respect to (E,L,φ,s) if

$$M_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha}) + \delta_1\mu_1(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha},\varphi) + \delta_2\mu_2(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha},s) = 0\,.$$

Definition 2.6. We define *S-equivalence* as the equivalence relation generated by isomorphisms and

$$(E,L,\varphi,s) \sim_S \mathrm{df}_{(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha})}(E,L,\varphi,s)\,.$$

for all critical weighted flags $(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha})$ of E.

2.3. **Families of Decorated Tumps.** Let Jac^l denote the Jacobian of line bundles of degree l on X and fix a Poincaré bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathrm{Jac}^l \times X$.

Definition 2.7. A *family of decorated tumps parametrized by a scheme S* is tuple

$$\mathcal{F} = (E_S,\kappa_S,N_{1,S},N_{2,S},\varphi_S,s_S)$$

where

- E_S is a T-split vector bundle on $S\times X$ with rank vector $\underline{r}(E_S)=\underline{r}$, such that for every point $y\in S$, the T-split vector bundle $E_{S|\{y\}\times X}$ has degree vector $\underline{d}$,
- $\kappa_S : S \to \mathrm{Jac}^l$ is a morphism,
- $N_{1,S}$, $N_{2,S}$ are two line bundles on X,
- $\varphi_S : E_{S,\rho} \to \mathrm{pr}_S^* N_{1,S} \otimes (\kappa_S\times \mathrm{id}_X)^*\mathcal{L}$ is a homomorphism such that for each point $y\in S$ the restriction $\varphi_{S|\{y\}\times X}$ is non-trivial,
- and $s : E_{S,\sigma} \to N_{2,S}$ is a surjective homomorphism.

Two families $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ parametrized by S are *isomorphic* if

- there are a line bundle L_S on S and an isomorphism $f : E_S \to E'_S \otimes \mathrm{pr}_S^* L_S$,
- $\kappa_S = \kappa'_S$ holds,
- there is an isomorphism $h_1 : N_{1,S} \to N'_{1,S}\otimes L_S^{\otimes\deg(\rho)}$ such that
$$(\varphi'_S \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{pr}_S^* L_S})\circ f_\rho = (\mathrm{pr}_S^* h_1 \otimes \mathrm{id}_{(\kappa_S\times\mathrm{id}_X)^*\mathcal{L}})\circ\varphi_S\,,$$
- and there is an isomorphism $h_2 : N_{2,S} \to N'_{2,S}\otimes L_S^{\otimes\deg(\sigma)}$ such that
$$(s'_S \otimes \mathrm{id}_{L_S^{\otimes\deg(\sigma)}})\circ f_{\sigma|S\times\{x_0\}} = h_2\circ s_S\,.$$

Definition 2.8. We call a family of decorated tumps $\mathcal{F}$ parametrized by a scheme S $(\delta_1,\delta_2,\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi})$-*(semi-)stable* if for every point $y\in S$ the decorated tump $\mathcal{F}_{|\{y\}}$ is $(\delta_1,\delta_2,\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable.

These notions determine the moduli functor of (S-equivalence classes of) $(\delta_1,\delta_2,\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable decorated tumps. Recall that in Remark 2.4 we have fixed numbers a_m,b_m,c_m, $m=1,2$, such that ρ and σ are direct summands of the representation on $W^{\oplus\underline{\kappa}}_{a_m,b_m,c_m}$. The main result of this section is the following:

Theorem 2.9. *For $a_2\delta_2<1$, the (projective) moduli space of $(\delta_1,\delta_2,\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable decorated tumps exists.*

The construction of this moduli space will be carried out in Sections 2.4 trough 2.7.

2.4. **Decorated Quotient Tumps.** The parameter space of decorated tumps is constructed as the fine moduli space of another moduli problem. We start with a result on boundedness.

Lemma 2.10. *There is constant C, such that for every $(\delta_1,\delta_2,\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi})$-semistable decorated tump (E,L,φ,s) of type $(\underline{d},l)$ we have:*

(i) *Every non-trivial T-split subbundle F satisfies $\mu_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(F) < \mu_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E) + C$.*

(ii) *For all $t\in T$ every non-trivial proper subbundle $F^t\subset E^t$ satisfies*
$$\mu(F^t) < \mu(E) + C - m(\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi})\,.$$

Proof. Consider the weighted flag $(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}) := (F \subset E, (1))$. From (1) and (2) we obtain the inequalities

$$\mu_1(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, \varphi) \leq a_1(r-1)\,, \qquad \mu_2(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, s) \leq a_2(r-1)\,.$$

The claim now follows from the $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-semistability with respect to $(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$. □

The usual arguments show, that there is an n_0 such that for every $n \geq n_0$, every $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable decorated tump (E, L, φ, s) and every index $t \in T$ the bundle $E^t(n)$ is globally generated and $H^1(E^t(n))$ vanishes. We choose such an n and fix complex vector spaces Y^t of dimension $p_t(n) := d_t + r_t(n+1-g)$, $t \in T$.

Definition 2.11. A *family of decorated quotient tumps* parametrized by a scheme S is a tuple

$$(q_S, \kappa_S, N_{1,S}, N_{2,S}, \varphi_S, s_S)$$

where $q_S : Y \otimes \operatorname{pr}_X^* \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \to E_S$ is a quotient of T-split vector bundles on $S \times X$, such that $(E_S, \kappa_S, N_{1,S}, N_{2,S}, \varphi_S, s_S)$ is a family of decorated tumps parametrized by S and

$$\operatorname{pr}_{S*}(q_S \otimes \operatorname{id}_{\operatorname{pr}_X^* \mathcal{O}_X(n)}) : Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \to \operatorname{pr}_{S*}(E_S \otimes \operatorname{pr}_X^* \mathcal{O}_X(n))$$

is an isomorphism.

There is a natural notion of isomorphisms similar to Defintion 2.7. This determines the moduli functor of decorated quotinet tumps.

Proposition 2.12. *The fine moduli space* QTmp_n *of decorated quotient tumps of type* $(\underline{d}, l)$ *exists.*

Proof. For $t \in T$ let Quot_n^t be the Quot scheme parameterizing quotients of rank r_t and degree d_t of $Y^t \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n)$ on X, and let $q^t : Y^t \otimes \operatorname{pr}_X^* \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \to Q^t$ be the universal quotient on $\mathrm{Quot}_n^t \times X$. Further, Let $\mathrm{Quot}_n^{t,0}$ be the open subscheme, such that for every point $p \in \mathrm{Quot}_n^{t,0}$ the sheaf Q_p^t is locally free and $H^0(q_p^t(n))$ is an isomorphism. On $\mathrm{Quot}_n := \prod_{t \in T} \mathrm{Quot}_n^{t,0}$ we have the quotient

$$q : Y \otimes \operatorname{pr}_X^* \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \to Q := (\operatorname{pr}_{\mathrm{Quot}_n^{t,0}}^* Q^t, t \in T)$$

of T-split vector bundles. The construction of QTmp_n now proceeds as in the proof of Proposition 4.3 in [2] with vector bundles replaced by T-split vector bundles. □

2.5. **The Gieseker Space.** We construct an equivariant injective morphism from the paramter space into a projective space: For $t \in T$ let Jac^{d_t} be the Jacobian of line bundles of degree d_t on X and let $\mathcal{P}^t$ be a Poincaré bundle on $\mathrm{Jac}^{d_t} \times X$. For large enough n the sheaf

$$\mathcal{G}_t^0 := \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}\left(\bigwedge^{r_t} Y^t \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Jac}^{d_t}}, \operatorname{pr}_{\mathrm{Jac}^{d_t} *}(\mathcal{P}^t \otimes \operatorname{pr}_X^* \mathcal{O}_X(r_t n)) \right)\,.$$

is locally free and we set $\mathrm{Gies}_t^0 := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_t^{0\vee})$. Without loss of generality we may assume $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gies}_t^0}(1)$ to be very ample. We define $\mathrm{Gies}^0 := \prod_{t \in T} \mathrm{Gies}_t^0$.

On $J := \mathrm{Jac}^{\underline{d}} \times \mathrm{Jac}^l$ with $\mathrm{Jac}^{\underline{d}} := \prod_{t \in T} \mathrm{Jac}^{d_t}$ we consider the sheaf

$$\mathcal{G}^1 := \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}\left(Y_{a_1,b_1}^{\oplus \underline{\kappa}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_J, \operatorname{pr}_{J*}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{\mathrm{Jac}^{\underline{d}} \times X}^* \mathcal{P}^{\otimes \underline{\kappa} c_1} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{\mathrm{Jac}^l \times X}^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_X^* \mathcal{O}_X(na_1)\right)\right)$$

with

$$\mathcal{P}^{\otimes \underline{\kappa}} := \bigotimes_{t \in T} (\operatorname{pr}_{\mathrm{Jac}^{d_t}} \times \operatorname{id}_X)^* \mathcal{P}^{t \otimes \kappa_t}\,.$$

For n sufficiently large this is a vector bundle and we set $\mathrm{Gies}^1 := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}^{1\vee})$. Again, we may assume $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gies}^1}(1)$ to be very ample. Finally, we set $\mathrm{Gies}^2 := \mathbb{P}\left(Y_{a_2,b_2}^{\oplus \underline{\kappa}}\right)$ and define the *Gieseker space* as

$$\mathrm{Gies}_n := \mathrm{Gies}^0 \times_{\mathrm{Jac}^{\underline{d}}} \mathrm{Gies}^1 \times \mathrm{Gies}^2\,.$$

Note that Gies_n is projective over $\mathrm{Jac}^{\underline{d}} \times \mathrm{Jac}^l$ and has a natural action of $\mathrm{GL}_T(Y)$. The universal family on QTmp_n determines an injective, $\mathrm{GL}_T(Y)$-invariant morphism

$$\mathrm{gies}_n : \mathrm{QTmp}_n \to \mathrm{Gies}_n$$

over $\mathrm{Jac}^{\underline{d}} \times \mathrm{Jac}^l$.

Remark 2.13. Let L^{d_t} be a line bundle of degree d_t, $t \in T$, and L a line bundle of degree l on X. The fiber of Gies_n over the point in $\mathrm{Jac}^{\underline{d}} \times \mathrm{Jac}^l$ determined by these line bundles is isomorphic to

$$\prod_{t\in T} \mathrm{Gies}^0_t(L^{d_t}) \times \mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{Hom}\left(Y^{\oplus\underline{\kappa}}_{a_1,b_1}, H^0(L^{\underline{d}\otimes c_1} \otimes L(a_1 n))\right)^\vee\right) \times \mathbb{P}(Y^{\oplus\underline{\kappa}}_{a_2,b_2}).$$

with $L^{\underline{d}} := \bigotimes_{t\in T} L^{d_t}$ and

$$\mathrm{Gies}^0_t(L^{d_t}) := \mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{Hom}\left(\bigwedge^{r_t} Y^t, H^0(L^{d_t}(nr_t))\right)^\vee\right).$$

Let λ be a one-parameter subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}^{\underline{\kappa}}_T(Y)$ with associated weighted flag $(Y_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$. For a point $[M_t] \in \mathrm{Gies}^0_t(L^{d_t})$ one finds

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(\lambda, [M_t]) &= -\sum_{k=1}^{\mathrm{l}(Y_\bullet)+1} \gamma_k \left(\mathrm{rk}(F^t_k) - \mathrm{rk}(F^t_{k-1})\right)\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{l}(Y_\bullet)} \alpha_j \left(p(n)\,\mathrm{rk}(F^t_j) - \mathrm{rk}(E^t)\dim_{\underline{\kappa}}(Y_j)\right),\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

where $p(n) := \dim_{\underline{\kappa}}(Y)$ and $F^t_j \subset E^t$ is the subbundle generated by Y^t_j. For two points

$$[T_1] \in \mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{Hom}\left(Y^{\oplus\underline{\kappa}}_{a_1,b_1}, H^0(L^{\underline{d}\otimes c_1} \otimes L(a_1 n))\right)^\vee\right), \qquad [T_2] \in \mathbb{P}(Y^{\oplus\underline{\kappa}}_{a_2,b_2}),$$

we get

$$\mu(\lambda, [T_1]) = -\min\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{l}(Y_\bullet)} \alpha_j (a_1 \dim_{\underline{\kappa}}(Y_j) - p(n)\nu_j(\underline{i})) \,\middle|\, \underline{i} \in I_1 : T_{1|Y^{\otimes \underline{i}}} \neq 0\right\},\tag{4}$$

$$\mu(\lambda, [T_2]) = -\min\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{l}(Y_\bullet)} \alpha_j (a_2 \dim_{\underline{\kappa}}(Y_j) - p(n)\nu_j(\underline{i})) \,\middle|\, \underline{i} \in I_2 : T_{2|Y^{\otimes \underline{i}}} \neq 0\right\}\tag{5}$$

With I_1, I_2 and $Y^{\otimes \underline{i}}$ defined similarly as in Remark 2.4. In particular, we have the estimate

$$-a_2 \sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{l}(Y_\bullet)} \alpha_j \dim_{\underline{\kappa}}(Y_j) \le \mu(\lambda, [T_2]) \le a_2 \sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{l}(Y_\bullet)} \alpha_j (p(n) - \dim_{\underline{\kappa}}(Y_j)).\tag{6}$$

2.6. **Comparison of Stability Conditions.** We compare $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-stability of a decorated tump with GIT-stability of a corresponding point in the parameter space. As an intermediate step, we introduce another notion of stability: For a vector bundle E and a weighted flag $(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ we define

$$M^{\mathrm{s}}_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, n) := \sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{l}(E_\bullet)} \alpha_j \left(h^0_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E(n))\,\mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(E_j) - h^0_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_j(n))\,\mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(E)\right).$$

Definition 2.14. We call a decorated tump (E, L, φ, s) $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi}, n)$*-section-(semi-)stable* if the condition

$$M^{\mathrm{s}}_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, n) + \delta_1\mu_1(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, \varphi) + \delta_2\mu_2(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, s) (\ge) 0$$

holds for every weighted flag $(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ of E.

Proposition 2.15. *There is an* n_1 *such that for all* $n \ge n_1$ *the following holds:*

(i) *The notion of* $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi}, n)$*-section-(semi-)stability and* $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$*-(semi-)stability are equivalent.*

(ii) *If* (E, L, φ, s) *is a* $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$*-semistable decorated tump, a weighted flag* $(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ *of* E *satisfies*

$$M^{\mathrm{s}}_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, n) + \delta_1\mu_1(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, \varphi) + \delta_2\mu_2(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, s) = 0\tag{7}$$

if and only if it is critical.

Proof. (i) As in the proof of [2], Lemma 5.2, one can show that for n large enough, any $(\delta_1,\delta_2,\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi},n)$-section-(semi-)stable decorated tump (E,L,φ,s) satisfies $h^1(E^t(n))=0$ for all $t\in T$. Together with the Riemann–Roch theorem this implies $M^{\mathrm{s}}_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha},n)\le M_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha})$. Thus, (E,L,φ,s) is also $(\delta_1,\delta_2,\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable.

We now show the converse: The proof of [2], Lemma 5.4, with the Le Potier–Simpson estimate replaced by Proposition 1.16 shows that if n is sufficiently large, for every $(\delta_1,\delta_2,\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable decorated tump (E,L,φ,s) every T-split subbundle $F\subset E$ satisfies

$$h^0_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E(n))\,\mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(F)-h^0_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(F(n))\,\mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(E)-\mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(F)(a_1\delta_1+a_2\delta_2)>0 \tag{8}$$

or $h^1(F^t)=0$ for all $t\in T$. Given a weighted flag $(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha})$ we decompose the set of indices $I:=\{1,\dots,\mathrm{l}(E_\bullet)\}$ into the two sets $I^B:=\{i\in I\,|\,\forall t\in T: h^1(E^t_i(n))=0\}$ and $I^A:=I\setminus I^B$. Denote the corresponding weighted flags by $(E^{A/B}_\bullet,\underline{\alpha}^{A/B})$. If $I^A\neq\varnothing$, the estimate from Lemma 1.5.1.41, ii), in [15] gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&M^{\mathrm{s}}_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha},n)+\delta_1\mu_1(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha},\varphi)+\delta_2\mu_2(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha},s)\\
\ge{}& M^{\mathrm{s}}_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E^B_\bullet,\underline{\alpha}^B,n)+\delta_1\mu_1(E^B_\bullet,\underline{\alpha}^B,\varphi)+\delta_2\mu_2(E^B_\bullet,\underline{\alpha}^B,s)\\
&+M^{\mathrm{s}}_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E^A_\bullet,\underline{\alpha}^A,n)-\sum_{1\le j\le \mathrm{l}(E^A_\bullet)}\alpha^A_j\,\mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(E^A_j)(\delta_1a_1+\delta_2a_2)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

The last term is positive by the definition of I^A and (8). Due to the definition of I^B and the theorem of Riemann–Roch we have $M^{\mathrm{s}}_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E^B_\bullet,\underline{\alpha}^B,n)=M_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E^B_\bullet,\underline{\alpha}^B)$. Thus, (E,L,φ,s) is also $(\delta_1,\delta_2,\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi},n)$-section-(semi-)stable.

(ii) Let (E,L,φ,s) be a $(\delta_1,\delta_2,\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable decorated tump. The first paragraph of part (i) shows that a critical weighted flag $(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha})$ of E satisfies (7). Let $(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha})$ now be a weighted flag such that (7) holds. The arguments from the second paragraph of (ii) show $I^A=\varnothing$, i.e. $(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha})=(E^B_\bullet,\underline{\alpha}^B)$. It follows that $(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha})$ is critical. □

We linearize the action of $\mathrm{SL}^{\underline{\kappa}}_T(Y)$ on Gies_n in the line bundle

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gies}}(\eta_t,t\in T,\theta_1,\theta_2):=\left(\bigotimes_{t\in T}\mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathrm{Gies}^0_t}\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gies}^0_t}(\eta_t)\right)\boxtimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gies}^1}(\theta_1)\boxtimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gies}^2}(\theta_2)$$

with

$$\begin{gathered}
\eta_t:=z\left(\kappa_t(p(n)+(\underline{r},\underline{\chi})-a_1\delta_1-a_2\delta_2)-r\chi_t\right)\,,\quad t\in T\,,\\
\theta_1:=zr\delta_1\,,\qquad \theta_2:=zr\delta_2\,.
\end{gathered}\tag{9}$$

Here, z is a natural number such that η_t, $t\in T$, θ_1 and θ_2 are positive integers.

Remark 2.16. Note that our linearization is not modified by a character of $\mathrm{SL}^{\underline{\kappa}}_T(Y)$ (compare [15], §2.5.4).

Let p be a point in QTmp_n and denote by (E,L,φ,s) the corresponding decorated Tump.

Proposition 2.17. *Assume $a_2\delta_2<1$. Then for $n\ge n_1$ we have:*

(i) *The point $\mathrm{gies}_n(p)$ is GIT (semi-)stable with respect to the linearization given in (9) if and only if (E,L,φ,s) is $(\delta_1,\delta_2,\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable.*

(ii) *If $\mathrm{gies}_n(p)$ is GIT semistable, there is a bijection Γ_p from the set of critical weighted flags $(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha})$ to the set of critical one-parameter subgroups λ of $\mathrm{SL}^{\underline{\kappa}}_T(Y)$.*

Proof. (i) Given a weighted filtration $(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha})$ of E, we construct a weighted flag of Y: Let $Y_\bullet$ be the flag induced by $U^t_j:=H^0(q^t_p(n))^{-1}H^0(E^t_j(n))$, $1\le j\le \mathrm{l}(E_\bullet)+1$, $t\in T$. For $1\le h\le \mathrm{l}(Y_\bullet)+1$ set $J(h):=\{j\,|\,U_j=Y_h\}$ and $\beta_h:=\sum_{j\in J(h)}\alpha_j$. We define $\Gamma_p(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha}):=(Y_\bullet,\underline{\beta})$.

As in [2], Proposition 5.8, one shows that a one-parameter subgroup λ of $\mathrm{SL}^{\underline{\kappa}}_T(Y)$ with associated weighted flag $(Y_\bullet,\underline{\beta})=\Gamma_p(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha})$ satisfies

$$\frac{\mu(\lambda,\mathrm{gies}_n(p))}{zp(n)}\le M^{\mathrm{s}}_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha},n)+\delta_1\mu_1(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha},\varphi)+\delta_2\mu_2(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha},s)\,. \tag{10}$$

Note that equality can only hold if $\mathrm{l}(E_\bullet)=\mathrm{l}(Y_\bullet)$ and $E_j(n)$ is generically generated by Y_j, $1\le j\le \mathrm{l}(E_\bullet)$. Details of this calculation can also be found in Proposition 6.41 in [3].

Let now $(Y_\bullet, \underline{\beta})$ be a weighted flag of the T-split vector space Y. Let $F_h^t(n) \subset E^t(n)$ be the subsheaf generated by Y_h^t, $1 \le h \le \mathrm{l}(Y_\bullet)$, $t \in T$, and let $E_\bullet$ be the flag induced by the T-split subbundles of E generically generated by those T-split sheaves F_h with $h^1(F_h^t(n)) = 0$ for all $t \in T$. Let $H(j) := \{h \mid F_h \text{ generically generates } E_j\}$, $1 \le j \le \mathrm{l}(E_\bullet) + 1$, and $\alpha_j := \sum_{h \in H(j)} \beta_h$, $1 \le j \le \mathrm{l}(E_\bullet)$. We define $Q_p(Y_\bullet, \underline{\beta}) := (E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$.

Suppose $n \ge n_1$ and let (E, L, φ, s) be a $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi}, n)$-section-(semi-)stable decorated tump. Furthermore, let λ be a one-parameter subgroup with associated weighted flag $(Y_\bullet, \underline{\beta})$. The proof of Proposition 5.9 in [2] shows

$$\frac{\mu(\lambda, \mathrm{gies}_n(t))}{zp(n)} \ge M^{\mathrm{s}}_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, n) + \delta_1 \mu_1(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, \varphi) + \delta_2 \mu_2(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, s)\,. \tag{11}$$

for the weighted flag $(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}) := Q_p(Y_\bullet, \underline{\beta})$. If equality holds, one has $\mathrm{l}(E_\bullet) = \mathrm{l}(Y_\bullet)$ and

$$Y_j^t = H^0(q_p(n))^{-1} H^0(E_j^t(n))\,,\ \text{for all } t \in T\,, 1 \le j \le \mathrm{l}(E_\bullet)\,.$$

In particular, one has $\Gamma_p(Q_p(Y_\bullet, \underline{\beta})) = (Y_\bullet, \underline{\beta})$ in this case. Again, details can be found in [3], Proposition 6.42.

Together with Proposition 2.15, (i), the inequalities (10) and (11) prove part (i).

(ii) If λ is a critical one-parameter subgroup for p, then by Proposition 2.15, (ii), and (11) the weighted flag $Q_p(Y_\bullet, \underline{\beta})$ is also critical. Thus, one finds $\Gamma_p(Q_p(Y_\bullet, \underline{\beta}) = (Y_\bullet, \underline{\beta})$. Conversely, if $(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ is a critical flag, then Proposition 2.15, (ii), and (10) show that any one-parameter subgroup λ with associated weighted flag $(Y_\bullet, \underline{\beta}) := \Gamma_p(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ is critical. In particular, $E_j(n)$ is generated by Y_j. Finally, (11) shows that $Q_p(\Gamma_p(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ is also critical and we have $Q_p(\Gamma_p(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}) = (E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$. ☐

2.7. **Proof of Theorem 2.9.** The results of the previous sections show that the open subscheme

$$\mathrm{QTmp}_n^{(\mathrm{s})\mathrm{s}} := \mathrm{gies}_n^{-1}(\mathrm{Gies}_n^{(\mathrm{s})\mathrm{s}})$$

parametrizes $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable decorated tumps. It is easy to see that the family $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{N}_1, \tilde{N}_2, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{s})$ on $\mathrm{QTmp}_n^{(\mathrm{s})\mathrm{s}}$ satisfies the local universal property and is compatible with the group action in the sense of [2], §2.2. The following proposition shows that our notion of S-equivalence is correct.

Proposition 2.18. *Let λ be a one-parameter subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}^{\underline{\kappa}}_T(Y)$ with associated weighted flag $(Y_\bullet, \underline{\beta})$ and $p_\infty := \lim_{t \to \infty} \lambda(t) \cdot p$ the limit point of p. Then, the admissible deformation of (E, L, φ, s) along $(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}) := Q_p(Y_\bullet, \underline{\beta})$ is isomorphic to the decorated tump defined by p_∞.*

Proof. We construct a certain family of decorated quotient tumps $(q_S, \kappa_S, N_{1,S}, N_{2,S}, \varphi_S, s_S)$ parametrized by $S := \mathbb{A}^1$ as in [2], Proposition 6.4. The universal property of QTmp_n gives a morphism $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{QTmp}_n$, which satisfies $\lambda(t) \cdot p = f(t^{-1})$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Finally, one checks that the decorated tump over $t = 0$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{df}_{(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})}(E, L, \varphi, s)$. ☐

It remains to show the existence of the good quotient.

Proposition 2.19. *Suppose $a_2\delta_2 < 1$. Then, there is an n_2, such that for $n \ge n_2$ the restriction of the Gieseker morphism to the semistable locus*

$$\mathrm{gies}_{n|\,\mathrm{QTmp}_n^{\mathrm{ss}}} : \mathrm{QTmp}_n^{\mathrm{ss}} \to \mathrm{Gies}^{\mathrm{ss}}$$

is proper.

Proof. We check the valuation criterion: Let R be a discrete valuation ring and K its quotient field. We consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{Spec}(K) \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "p"'] & \mathrm{QTmp}_n^{\mathrm{ss}} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{gies}_n^{\mathrm{ss}}"] \\ \mathrm{Spec}(R) \arrow[r, "h"] & \mathrm{Gies}_n^{\mathrm{ss}}\ . \end{tikzcd}$$

The morphism f corresponds to a family of decorated quotients tumps on $\mathrm{Spec}(K)$. Since Quot_n is projective the family of T-split quotient sheaves extends to a quotient $q_R : Y \otimes \mathrm{pr}_X^* \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \to F_R$ on $\mathrm{Spec}(R) \times X$. Because F_R may have torsion, we compose the morphism with $F \to E_R := F_R^{\vee\vee}$. As a reflexive sheaf on the regular surface $\mathrm{Spec}(R)\times X$ the sheaf E_R is locally free. Repeating the construction from Proposition 2.12 over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ instead of Quot_n, we obtain a tuple

$$(q_R, \kappa_R, N_{1,R}, N_{2,R}, \varphi_R, s_R)$$

over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)\times X$ inducing the morphism h.

It remains to show that this is a family of decorated quotient tumps. Let $(q : Y\otimes\mathcal{O}_X(-n)\to E, L, \varphi, s)$ be the restriction to the special fiber. Semistability in Gies_n implies $\dim_{\underline{\kappa}}(\ker(H^0(q(n))))\le a_2\delta_2$. The assumption $a_2\delta_2 < 1$ thus ensures that $H^0(q(n))$ is injective. Let now Q be a T-split quotient of E of minimal slope and $U := \ker(Y\to H^0(Q(n)))$. GIT-semistability with respect to the weighted flag $(U\subset Y, (1))$ and the Le Potier–Simpson estimate from Proposition 1.16, (i), yield a lower bound for $\mu_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(Q) = \mu_{\min}(E)$. Hence, there is an n_2 such that for $n\ge n_2$ the bundle $E^t(n)$ is globally generated and $H^1(E^t(n))$ vanishes, $t\in T$. For dimensional reasons, $H^0(q(n))$ is an isomorphism and q is surjective. □

Proof of Theorem 2.9. The restriction of gies_n to the semistable locus is proper and injective, hence quasi-finite. According to [8], 8.11.1, it is also finite, in particular affine. Therefore, by [14], 5.1 Lemma, the projective good quotient $\mathrm{QTmp}_n^{\mathrm{ss}} /\!\!/ \mathrm{SL}_T^{\underline{\kappa}}(Y)$ and the geometric quotient $\mathrm{QTmp}_n^{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{SL}_T^{\underline{\kappa}}(Y)$ exist. Now $\mathrm{QTmp}_n^{(\mathrm{s})\mathrm{s}}$ has the local universal property for (semi-)stable decorated tumps, the action of $\mathrm{GL}_T(Y)$ is compatible and the notions of S-equivalence agree. The general theory of moduli spaces and GIT implies that these quotients are the desired moduli spaces. □

2.8. **Asymptotic Stability.** In the following, we transfer the results on asymptotic stability of decorated swamps from §4 in [4] to the setting of decorated tumps.

Definition 2.20. We call a decorated tump (E, L, φ, s) *asymptotically* $(\delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$*-(semi-)stable* if for any weighted flag $(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ there exists a number $c_1\in\mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that for all $\delta_1\ge c_1$ we have

$$M_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha}) + \delta_1\mu_1(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha},\varphi) + \delta_2\mu_2(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha},s)(\ge)0\,.$$

Remark 2.21. A decorated tump (E, L, φ, s) is asymptotically $(\delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable if and only if every weighted flag $(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ of E satisfies

(i) $\mu_1(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha},\varphi)\ge 0$ and
(ii) $\mu_1(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha},\varphi) = 0 \implies M_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha}) + \delta_2\mu_2(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha},s)(\ge)0.$

Proposition 2.22. *For fixed* δ_2*,* $\underline{\kappa}$ *and* $\underline{\chi}$ *there exists a constant* Δ_1*, such that for all* $\delta_1\ge\Delta_1$ *a* $(\delta_1,\delta_2,\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi})$*-(semi-)stable decorated tump is also asymptotically* $(\delta_2,\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi})$*-(semi-)stable.*

Proof. Let (E, L, φ, s) be a $(\delta_1,\delta_2,\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable decorated tump. Condition (ii) of Remark 2.21 obviously holds. Assume that condition (i) is not satisfied. Let η be the generic point of X, K the function field of X and set $\mathbb{E} := E_{|\eta}$ and $\mathbb{E}_\rho := E_{\rho|\eta}$. By assumption the point $[\varphi_\eta]\in\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{E}_\rho)$ is unstable with respect to the action of $\mathrm{SL}_T^{\underline{\kappa}}(\mathbb{E})$, and we let Λ be an instability one-parameter subgroup (see [13], Def. 1.6). This one-parameter subgroup determines a weighted flag $(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha})$ of E with $\mu_0 := \mu_1(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha},\varphi) = \mu(\Lambda,[\varphi_\eta])\le -1$.

Let $W_\bullet$ be a T-split flag of $W = (\mathbb{C}^{r_t}, t\in T)$ of the same type as $E_\bullet$ and choose an open subset U of X and a trivialization $E_{|U}\cong W\times U$ with $E_{j|U}\cong W_j\times U$ for all $1\le j\le \mathrm{l}(E_\bullet)$. This induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{SL}_T^{\underline{\kappa}}(\mathbb{E})\cong\mathrm{SL}_T^{\underline{\kappa}}(W)\times\mathrm{Spec}(K)$ such that there exists a one-parameter subgroup λ of $\mathrm{SL}_T^{\underline{\kappa}}(W)$ with $\Lambda\cong\lambda\times\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Spec}(K)}$

Let D be the torus of diagonal matrices in $\mathrm{GL}(W^{\oplus\underline{\kappa}})$. The identification $X_*(D)\cong\bigoplus_{t\in T}\mathbb{Z}^{\kappa_t r_t}$ and the standard pairing induce a pairing on $X_*(D)$. This defines a norm $\|\cdot\|_{\underline{\kappa}}$ on the set of one-parameter subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_T^{\underline{\kappa}}(W)$ (see [15], §1.7.2). As in [4], Proposition 4.3, one finds

$$M_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{0}}(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha})\le -\frac{l\|\lambda\|_{\underline{\kappa}}^2}{\mu_0}\le l\|\lambda\|_{\underline{\kappa}}^2\,.$$

Since there are only finitely many conjugacy classes of possible instability one-parameter subgroups, we can find constants C, C' and $C_{\underline{\chi}}$ such that

$$C \geq \|\lambda\|^2_{\underline{\kappa}}, \qquad C' \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{l}(E_\bullet)} \alpha_j \left(\mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(E) - \mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(E_j)\right) ,$$

$$C_{\underline{\chi}} \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{l}(E_\bullet)} \alpha_j \left(\mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\chi}}(E)\,\mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(E_j) - \mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\chi}}(E)\,\mathrm{rk}_{\underline{\kappa}}(E_j)\right) .$$

The $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-semistability implies

$$Cl + C_{\underline{\chi}} - \delta_1 + a_2\delta_2 C' \geq M_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}) + \delta_1\mu_1(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, \varphi) + \delta_2\mu_2(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, s) \geq 0$$

Thus, we get a contradiction for $\delta_1 > \Delta_1 := Cl + C_{\underline{\chi}} + a_2\delta_2 C'$. □

Proposition 2.23. *There is a constant C such that every asymptotically $(\delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-semistable decorated tump (E, L, φ, s) of type $(\underline{d}, l)$ and every non-trivial T-split subbundle $F \subset E$ satisfies*

$$\mu_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(F) \leq \mu_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E) + C\,.$$

Proof. Let (E, L, φ, s) be an asymptotically $(\delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-semistable decorated tump. Denote by η the generic point if X and let $\mathbb{E}^t := E^t_{|\eta}$, $t \in T$, and $\mathbb{E}_\rho := E_{\rho|\eta}$ be the restrictions. For $t \in T$ and $1 \leq r' < \mathrm{rk}(E^t)$ we consider the space

$$P_{t,r'} := \mathbb{P}\left(\bigwedge^{\mathrm{rk}(E^t)-r'} \mathbb{E}\right) \times_\eta \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{E}_\rho)\,.$$

By Proposition 2.9 in [4] there is an $n_0(t, r')$ such that for $n \geq n_0(t, r')$ a point $(x, y) \in P_{t,r'}$ which is unstable with respect to the linearization in $\mathcal{O}_{P_{t,r'}}(1, n)$, but where y is semistable, every instability one-parameter subgroup λ of (x, y) satisfies $\mu(\lambda, y) = 0$. We choose

$$n := \max\{n_0(t, r') \,|\, t \in T, 1 \leq r' \leq \mathrm{rk}(E^t)\}\,.$$

Let now $t \in T$ be an index and F a subbundle of E^t. Together with φ this defines a morphism

$$f : X \to \mathbb{P}\left(\bigwedge^{\mathrm{rk}(E^t)-\mathrm{rk}(F)} E\right) \times_X \mathbb{P}(E_\rho)\,.$$

If f is generically semistable, the line bundle

$$f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\left(\bigwedge^{\mathrm{rk}(E^t)-\mathrm{rk}(F)} E\right)\times_X \mathbb{P}(E_\rho)}(1, n) = \det(E^t/F) \otimes L(-D)^{\otimes n}$$

with some effective divisor D on X has non-negative degree, so that $\deg(F) \leq \deg(E^t) + nl$. If f is generically unstable, there is a one-parameter subgroup λ of $\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{E})$ inducing a weighted flag $(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ of E with

$$\deg(E^t) - \deg(F) + nl + m_0 M_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{0}}(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}) \geq 0\,.$$

Due to our choice of n we have $\mu_1(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, \varphi) = 0$, so asymptotic $(\delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-stability implies

$$M_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}) + \delta_2\mu_2(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, s) \geq 0\,.$$

Since there are only finitely many conjugacy classes of possible instability one-parameter subgroups, we can find constants $C_{\underline{\chi}}$ and C' as in the proof of Proposition 2.22. Then, in any case we have

$$\deg(F) \leq \deg(E^t) + nl - m_0(C + a_2 C' \delta_2)\,.$$

The claim is an easy consequence of this. □

Theorem 2.24. *There is constant Δ such that for all $\delta_1 > \Delta$ a decorated tump of type $(\underline{d}, l)$ is $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable if and only if it is asymptotically $(\delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable.*

Proof. There is a finite set U of tuples $(\underline{r}_t, t \in T, \underline{\alpha})$ with $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $\underline{r}_t \in \mathbb{N}^l$, $t \in T$, and $\underline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Q}^l_{>0}$, such that $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stability of a decorated tump has to be checked for weighted flags $(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ with $(\underline{r}^t(E_\bullet), t \in T, \underline{\alpha}) \in U$. We define

$$C'' := \max\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{l}(\underline{\alpha})} \alpha_j \sum_{t \in T} \kappa_t r_{t,j} \;\middle|\; (\underline{r}_t, t \in T, \underline{\alpha}) \in U \right\}.$$

Let C be the constant from Proposition 2.23. We set

$$M_0 := \max\left\{ C \sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{l}(\underline{\alpha})} \alpha_j r \sum_{t \in T} \kappa_t r_{t,j} \;\middle|\; (\underline{r}_t, t \in T, \underline{\alpha}) \in U \right\}.$$

Finally let m be an integer such that $mr\alpha$ is integral for all $(\underline{r}_t, t \in T, \underline{\alpha}) \in U$.

Now suppose $\delta_1 > m(M_0 + a_2\delta_2 C'')$, let (E, L, φ, s) be an asymptotically $(\delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable decorated tump and $(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ a weighted flag whose type lies in U. If $\mu_1(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, \varphi) = 0$ holds, then $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stability with respect to $(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ follows from Remark 2.21, (ii). Otherwise, we have $\mu_1(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, \varphi) \geq 1/m$ and hence

$$M_{\underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi}}(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}) + \delta_1 \mu_1(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, \varphi) + \delta_2 \mu_2(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, s) \geq -M_0 + \frac{\delta_1}{m} - a_2\delta_2 C'' > 0\,.$$

Together with Proposition 2.22 this proves the claim for $\delta_1 > \max\{\Delta_1, -m(M_0 + a_2\delta_2 C'')\}$. □

3. Moduli of Decorated Principal Bundles

This section contains the construction of the moduli space of decorated principal bundles. The idea is to describe stable decorated principal bundles as asymptotically stable decorated tumps and then use the results from Section 2.

3.1. **Stable Decorated Principal Bundles.** Let X be a smooth projective curve over the complex numbers, x_0 a point in X, G an affine reductive group and $\sigma : G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ a representation.

Definition 3.1. A *σ-decorated principal G-bundle* is a pair (P, s), where P is a principal G-bundle on X and s is a point in the fiber over x_0 of the associated projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(P_\sigma)$.

An isomorphism $f : (P, s) \to (P', s')$ of decorated principal bundles is an isomorphism $f : P \to P'$ with $f_\sigma(s') = s$, where f_σ is the induced isomorphism $\mathbb{P}(P'_\sigma)_{|x_0} \to \mathbb{P}(P_\sigma)_{|x_0}$.

Since G is affine, there is faithful representation $G \to \mathrm{GL}(W')$. We obtain an embedding $G \to \mathrm{SL}(W)$ with

$$W := W' \oplus \left(\bigwedge^{\dim(W')} W' \right)^{\vee}.$$

Since G is also reductive, its radical $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is a torus (see [5, §11.21]). We thus find a decomposition $W = \bigoplus_{t \in T} W^t$ and characters χ_t, $t \in T$, such that $g \cdot w = \chi_t(g) w$ for all $w \in W^t$ and $g \in \mathcal{R}(G)$. Because the radical is contained in the center, the spaces W^t are G-invariant. We get an embedding

$$\kappa : G \to \bigoplus_{t \in T} \mathrm{GL}(W^t) \cap \mathrm{SL}(W) =: \mathrm{SL}_T(W)\,.$$

Via κ the datum of a principal G-bundle P is equivalent to the datum of a T-split vector bundle E with $\mathrm{rk}(E^t) = \dim(W^t)$, $t \in T$ and $\bigotimes_{t \in T} \det(E^t) = \mathcal{O}_X$ together with a reduction of the structure group $\tau : X \to \mathrm{Iso}_T(W, E)/G$ with

$$\mathrm{Iso}_T(W, E) := \bigoplus_{t \in T} \mathrm{Iso}(W^t \otimes \mathcal{O}_x, E^t)\,.$$

We call $\underline{d} = (\deg(E^t), t \in T)$ the *type* of P.

Recall that the associated parabolic subgroup of a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda : \mathbb{C}^* \to G$ is

$$Q_G(\lambda) := \{ g \in G \mid \lim_{z \to \infty} \lambda(z) g \lambda^{-1}(z) \text{ exists in } G \}\,.$$

Definition 3.2. A *weighted reduction* of a principal bundle P is a pair (λ, β), where λ is a one-parameter subgroup of G and $\beta : X \to P/Q_G(\lambda)$ is a reduction of the structure group.

Let (P, s) be a decorated principal bundle and (λ, β) a weighted reduction. Fix a torus $T \subset G$ such that $\lambda \in X_*(T)$. The embedding κ induces a pairing on $X_*(T)$ and $X^*(T)$. There is a unique character $\chi_\lambda \in X^*(T)$ such that $\langle \chi_\lambda, \lambda' \rangle = (\lambda, \lambda')$ for all $\lambda' \in X_*(T)$. This character extends to a character on $Q_{\mathrm{SL}_T(\underline{r})}(\kappa_*\lambda)$, which we also denote by χ_λ. One can check, that χ_λ is independent of the choice of the torus T.

The section β determines a trivialization $f : \mathbb{P}(P_\sigma)_{|x_0} \to \mathbb{P}(V)$ up to an element $g \in Q_G(\lambda)$. We define

$$\mu(\lambda, \beta, s) := \mu_\sigma(\lambda, f(s)) .$$

Definition 3.3. Let $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ and $\chi \in X^*(\mathrm{SL}_T(W))$. We call a σ-decorated principal bundle (P, s) (δ, χ)-*(semi-)stable* if every weighted reduction (λ, β) of P satisfies

$$\deg(\beta^* P \times^{\chi_\lambda} \mathbb{C}) + \delta\mu(\lambda, \beta, s) + \langle \chi, \kappa_*\lambda \rangle (\geq) 0 .$$

3.2. **S-Equivalence of Decorated Principal Bundles.** Let (P, s) be a (δ, χ)-semistable decorated principal bundle and (λ, β) a weighted reduction. We denote by $\pi : Q_G(\lambda) \to L_G(\lambda)$ the projection to the Levi factor and by $i : L_G(\lambda) \to G$ the inclusion. Set $P' := i_*\pi_*\beta^* P$. The reduction $X \to P/Q_G(\lambda) \to \sigma_* P/Q_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(\sigma_*\lambda)$ determines a flag $F_\bullet$ of P_σ, and we have

$$P'_\sigma = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\mathrm{l}(F_\bullet)} F_{j+1}/F_j .$$

Set $j_0 := \min\{1 \leq j \leq \mathrm{l}(F_\bullet) \mid s_{|F_{j|x_0}} \neq 0\}$, so that s induces a point $s' \in \mathbb{P}(F_{j_0}/F_{j_0-1})_{\{x_0\}} \subset \mathbb{P}(P'_\sigma)_{\{x_0\}}$. We call

$$\mathrm{df}_{(\lambda,\beta)}(P, s) := (P', s')$$

the *admissible deformation* of (P, s) along (λ, β).

Definition 3.4. We call a weighted reduction (λ, β) *critical* if the condition

$$\deg(\beta^* P \times^{\chi}_{\lambda} \mathbb{C}) + \delta\mu(\lambda, \beta, s) + \langle \chi, \kappa_*\lambda \rangle = 0$$

holds.

Definition 3.5. We define *S-equivalence* as the equivalence relation generated by isomorphisms and

$$(P, s) \sim_S \mathrm{df}_{(\lambda,\beta)}(P, s)$$

for all critical weighted reductions (λ, β) of P.

3.3. **Parametrized Families of Decorated Principal Bundles.**

Definition 3.6. A *family of decorated principal bundles* of type $\underline{d}$ parametrized by a scheme S is a triple $\mathcal{F} = (P_S, N_S, s_S)$, where P_S is a principal bundle on $S \times X$, such that for every $s \in S$ the bundle $P_{S|\{s\}\times X}$ is of type $\underline{d}$, N_S is a line bundle on S and $s_S : P_{\sigma|S\times\{x_0\}} \to N_S$ is a surjective homomorphism.

Two parametrized families $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ are *isomorphic* if there are isomorphisms $f : P_S \to P'_S$ and $h : N_S \to N'_S$ such that $s'_S \circ f_{\sigma|S\times\{x_0\}} = h \circ s_S$.

If $\mathcal{F}$ is family of decorated principal bundles parametrized by S, then $\mathcal{F}$ defines an isomorphism class of decorated principal bundles $\mathcal{F}_s$ for every point $s \in S$. We call $\mathcal{F}$ (δ, χ)-*(semi-)stable* if $\mathcal{F}_s$ is so for all $s \in S$.

Remark 3.7. By [15], Theorem 1.1.6.1, there is a representation $\sigma' : \mathrm{GL}_T(W) \to \mathrm{GL}(V')$, such that σ is a direct summand of $\sigma' \circ \kappa$. This induces an inclusion $\mathbb{P}(P_\sigma) \subset \mathbb{P}(P_{\sigma'\circ\kappa})$. Without loss of generality we may thus assume that σ is induced by a representation $\mathrm{GL}_T(W) \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$, which we also denote by σ. For technical reasons we have to assume that σ is homogeneous. By Proposition 1.5 there are numbers a, b, c such that V is a direct summand of $W_{a,b,c}$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_T(W)$ be an affine reductive group and σ a direct summand of the natural representation $\mathrm{GL}_T(W) \to \mathrm{GL}(W_{a,b,c})$. For $a\delta < 1$ the (projective) moduli space of (δ, χ)-(semi-)stable σ-decorated principal bundles with structure group G exists.*

The remainder of this section is devoted to the construction of this moduli space.

3.4. **Decorated Pseudo Principal Bundles.** Let (P, s) be a decorated principal bundle. A weighted reduction (λ, β) of P determines a weighted flag $(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ of the associated T-split vector bundle E, such that

$$\deg(\beta^* P \times^{\chi_\lambda} \mathbb{C}) = M_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{0}}(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$$

with $\kappa_t := 1$, $0_t := 0$ for $t \in T$.

A character χ of $\mathrm{SL}_T(W)$ is given by an element $\underline{\chi} \in \mathbb{Z}^T/\Delta$ where Δ is the diagonal. If λ is a one-parameter subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_T(W)$ with associated weighted flag $(W_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$, one finds

$$\langle \chi, \lambda \rangle = \sum_{t \in T} \chi_t \sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{l}(W_\bullet)} \alpha_j \left(\dim(W_j) \dim(W^t) - \dim(W) \dim(W^t_j) \right) .$$

A short calculation shows $\deg(\beta^* P \times^{\chi_\lambda} \mathbb{C}) + \langle \chi, \lambda \rangle = M_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$. Finally we have $\mu(\lambda, \beta, s) = \mu_2(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, s)$. This implies the following lemma.

Lemma 3.9. *A decorated principal bundle (P, s) is (δ, χ)-(semi-)stable if and only if the condition*

$$M_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}) + \delta \mu_2(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, s) (\geq) 0 .$$

holds for every weighted flag $(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ of the associated T-split vector bundle E that is induced by a weighted reduction of P.

Definition 3.10. A *decorated pseudo principal bundle* is a triple (E, τ, s) consisting of a T-split vector bundle E with $\underline{r}(E) = \underline{r}$ and trivial determinant, a non-trivial homomorphism

$$\tau : \mathrm{Sym}\left(\bigoplus_{t \in T} E^{t\vee} \otimes W^t \right)^G \to \mathcal{O}_X$$

and a point s in $\mathbb{P}(E_{\sigma|\{x_0\}})$.

An *isomorphism* of decorated pseudo principal bundles is an isomorphism $f : E \to E'$ of T-split vector bundles such that $\tau \circ \tilde{f} = \tau'$ and $f_\sigma(s') = s$, where

$$\tilde{f} := \mathrm{Sym}\left(\bigoplus_{t \in T} f^{t\vee} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{W^t} \right)$$

Definition 3.11. A *family of decorated pseudo principal bundles* parametrized by a scheme S is tuple $\mathcal{F} = (E_S, N_S, \tau_S, s_S)$, where E_S is a T-split vector bundle on $S \times X$ of rank vector $\underline{r}$, such that for each $s \in S$ the T-split bundle $E_{S|\{s\}\times X}$ has degree vector $\underline{d}$, N_S is a line bundle on S,

$$\tau_S : \mathrm{Sym}\left(\bigoplus_{t \in T} E_S^{t\,\vee} \otimes W^t \right)^G \to \mathrm{pr}_X^* \mathcal{O}_X$$

is a homomorphism whose restriction to any point $s \in S$ is non-trivial, and $s_S : E_{S\sigma|S\times\{x_0\}} \to N_S$ is a surjective homomorphism.

Two families $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ are *isomorphic* if there are isomorphisms $f : E_S \to E'_S$ and $h : N_S \to N'_S$, such that $\tau'_S \circ \tilde{f} = \tau_S$ and $h \circ s_S = s'_S \circ f_{\sigma|S\times\{x_0\}}$.

A principal bundle P_S on $S \times X$ corresponds to a T-split vector bundle E_S and a reduction of the structure group $\beta_S : S \times X \to \mathrm{Iso}_T(W, E_S)/G$. We compose the morphism β_S with the inclusion

$$\mathrm{Iso}_T(W, E_S)/G \to \mathrm{Hom}_T(W, E_S)/\!\!/G$$

The resulting morphism is given by a homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras

$$\tau_S(\beta_S) : \mathrm{Sym}\left(\bigoplus_{t \in T} E^{t\vee} \otimes W^t \right)^G \to \mathcal{O}_X .$$

Definition 3.12. Let $(P_S, N_S, \varphi_S, s_S)$ be a family of decorate principal bundles and E the associated T-split vector bundle of P. Then we call $(E_S, N_S, \tau_S(\beta_S), s_S)$ the *associated family of decorated pseudo principal bundles.*

Lemma 3.13. *A decorated pseudo principal bundle (E, τ, s) definig the section $\sigma : X \to \mathrm{Hom}_T(W, E)/\!\!/G$ is the image of a decorated principal bundle if and only if there is a point $x \in X$ such that $\sigma(x)$ lies in $\mathrm{Iso}_T(W, E)/G$.*

Proof. See [15], Lemma 2.6.3.1. ☐

3.5. **Decorated Pseudo Principal Bundles as Decorated Tumps.** Consider the space

$$H := \bigoplus_{t \in T} \mathrm{End}(W^t)$$

with the natural left action of $\mathrm{GL}_T(W)$ and the natural right action of G. Since these actions commute, there is an induced action of $\mathrm{GL}_T(W)$ on $\mathbb{P}(H^\vee)/\!\!/G = \mathrm{Proj}(\mathrm{Sym}(H^\vee)^G)$. Lemma 2.6.2.1 in [15] tells us:

Lemma 3.14. *Let $h \in H$ be a point and $[h] \in \mathbb{P}(H^\vee)/\!\!/G$ the induced point.*

(i) *If h is an isomorphism, then $[h]$ is $\mathrm{SL}_T(W)$-polystable.*
(ii) *If $[h]$ is $\mathrm{SL}_T(W)$-semistable, then h is an isomorphism.*

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be a number such that $\mathrm{Sym}(H^\vee)^G$ is generated in degree less or equal to d and the graded ring

$$\mathrm{Sym}^{(d!)}(H^\vee)^G := \bigoplus_i \mathrm{Sym}^{d!i}(H^\vee)^G$$

is generated in degree one. Then the vector space homomorphism

$$V_1 := \bigoplus_{\substack{\underline{s} \in \mathbb{N}^d \\ \sum i s_i = d!}} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{d} \mathrm{Sym}^{s_i}(\mathrm{Sym}^i(H^\vee)^G) \to \mathrm{Sym}^{d!}(H^\vee)^G$$

is surjective. Note that the representation $\rho : \mathrm{GL}_T(W) \to \mathrm{GL}(V_1)$ is homogeneous of degree $d!$.

By choice of d the vertical homomorphisms in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Sym}(V_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, two heads] & \mathrm{Sym}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{d} \mathrm{Sym}^i(H^\vee)^G\right) \arrow[d, two heads] \\
\mathrm{Sym}^{(d!)}(H^\vee)^G \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Sym}(H^\vee)^G
\end{tikzcd}$$

are surjective. The horizontal induce isomorphisms of the corresponding (weighted) projective spaces.

Lemma 3.15. *Let $[h]$ be a point in $\mathbb{P}(H^\vee)/\!\!/G$ and $v[h]$ its image in $\mathbb{P}(V_1)$. For $\lambda \in X_*(\mathrm{SL}_T(W))$ we find*

$$\mu(\lambda, [h])(\geq)0 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \mu(\lambda, v[h])(\geq)0\,.$$

Proof. Let $[h]$ be represented by $h_i : \mathrm{Sym}^i(H^\vee)^G \to \mathbb{C}$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$. Then $v[h]$ is determined by the linear forms

$$h_{\underline{s}} \colon \bigotimes_{i=1}^{d} \mathrm{Sym}^{s_i}(\mathrm{Sym}^i(H^\vee)^G) \to \mathbb{C}$$

$$(u_1^{(1)} \cdot \cdots \cdot u_{s_1}^{(1)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (u_1^{(d)} \cdot \cdots \cdot u_{s_d}^{(d)}) \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^{d} \prod_{j=1}^{s_i} h_i(u_j^{(i)})\,.$$

This implies the claim. ☐

Let (E_S, N_S, τ_S, s_S) be a parametrized family of decorated pseudo principal bundles. We consider the bundle

$$E_{S,\rho} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\underline{s} \in \mathbb{N}^d \\ \sum i s_i = d!}} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{d} \mathrm{Sym}^{s_i}\left(\mathrm{Sym}^i\left(\bigoplus_{t \in T} E_S^{t\vee} \otimes W^t\right)^G\right)\,.$$

The composition of $\tau_S^{d!}$ with the surjection

$$E_{S,\rho} \to \mathrm{Sym}^{d!}\left(\bigoplus_{t\in T} E_S^{t\vee} \otimes W^t\right)^G$$

defines a non-trivial homomorphism $\varphi_S : E_{S,\rho} \to \mathrm{pr}_X^* \mathcal{O}_X$.

Definition 3.16. We call $(E_S, \mathcal{O}_X, N_S, \varphi_S, s_S)$ the *family of decorated tumps associated with the family* (E_S, N_S, τ_S, s_S) of decorated pseudo principal bundles.

Lemma 3.17. *The map taking the isomorphism class of a decorated pseudo principal bundle* $[(E, \tau, s)]$ *to the isomorphism class of its associated decorated tump* $[(E, \mathcal{O}_X, \varphi, s)]$ *is injective.*

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Proposition 2.6.3.2 in [15]. Let (E, τ, s) and (E', τ', s') be two decorated pseudo principal bundles with isomorphic associated decorated tumps. It suffices to consider the case $E = E'$, $s = s'$ and $\varphi(\tau) = \varphi(\tau')$. Note that τ and τ' are determined by the induced homomorphisms

$$\tau_j, \tau_j' : \mathrm{Sym}^j\left(\bigoplus_{t\in T} E^{t^\vee} \otimes W^t\right)^G \to \mathcal{O}_X\,, \quad j = 1, \dots, d\,.$$

For each j there exists $l_j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $l_j j = d!$. By assumption we have $\mathrm{Sym}^{l_j}(\tau_i) = \mathrm{Sym}^{l_j}(\tau_j')$. Hence, there is a l_j-th root of unity $\zeta_j \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\tau_j = \zeta_j \tau_j'$. It remains to show that there is a $d!$-th root of unity ζ with $\zeta_j = \zeta^j$.

Let $\mathbb{E} = E_\eta$ be the restriction to the generic point. By assumption the points $[\tau_{1|\eta}, \dots, \tau_{d,|\eta}]$ and $[\tau'_{1|\eta}, \dots, \tau'_{d|\eta}]$ have the same image under the Veronese map

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{d} \mathrm{Sym}^j\left(E^{t^\vee} \otimes W^t\right)^G\right) \to \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{E}_\rho)\,.$$

Since this map is well known to be injective, the claim follows. □

Lemma 3.18. *A decorated tump* $(E, \mathcal{O}_X, \varphi, s)$ *is the image of a decorated principal bundle if and only if the condition* $\mu_1(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha}, \varphi) \geq 0$ *holds for all weighted flags* $(E_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ *of* E.

Proof. This follows from Lemmata 3.14 and 3.15 together with Lemma 3.13. □

Definition 3.19. We say that a family of decorated pseudo principal bundles is $(\delta, \underline{\chi})$-*(semi-)stable* if its associated family of decorated tumps is asymptotically $(\delta, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable with $\kappa_t = 1$, $t \in T$.

Two decorated pseudo principal bundles are *S-equivalent* if their associated isomorphism classes of decorated tumps are S-equivalent.

3.6. **Comparison of Stability Notions.** Finally, we need to compare the notion of stability of decorated principal bundles with that of decorated pseudo principal bundles.

Proposition 3.20. *Let* K *be a perfect field,* G *an affine reductive group and* $H \subset G$ *a closed reductive subgroup over* K. *For every point* $[g] \in G/H$ *and every one-parameter subgroup* $\lambda : K^* \to G$, *such that the limit* $[g]_\infty := \lim_{c\to\infty} \lambda(c) \cdot [g]$ *exists in* G/H, *there is an element* g *in the radical of* $Q_G(\lambda)$ *with* $[g]_\infty = g' \cdot [g]$.

Proof. Kraft and Kuttler proved this in the case that K is algebraically closed. The statement for algebraically closed K is Theorem 3.3 in [1]. □

Lemma 3.21. *Let* K *be a perfect field over* $\mathbb{C}$ *and* $\lambda : K^* \to \mathrm{SL}_T(W \otimes K)$ *a one-parameter subgroup. For* $x := [(\mathrm{id}_{W^t}, t \in T)] \in \mathbb{P}(H^\vee \otimes K)/\!\!/G(K)$ *the following are equivalent:*

(i) *There is a one-parameter subgroup* λ' *of* $G(K)$ *and an element* $g \in Q_{\mathrm{SL}_T(W\otimes K)}(\lambda)$ *with* $\kappa_* \lambda' = g^{-1}\lambda g$.

(ii) $\mu(\lambda, x) = 0$.

Proof. The implication (i)⇒(ii) is obvious because G stabilizes $[\mathrm{id}_W] \in H/\!\!/G$. Now suppose $\mu(\lambda, x) = 0$ and let $x_\infty := \lim_{c\to\infty} \lambda(c) \cdot x$. Then by Lemma 3.14 there exists $g \in \mathrm{GL}_T(W \otimes K)$ such that $[g] = x_\infty$. By Proposition 3.20 we can choose g in the radical of $Q_{\mathrm{SL}_T(W\otimes K)}(\lambda)$. Since λ leaves x_∞ invariant, λ factors through the stabilizer $g\kappa(G(K))g^{-1}$ □

Proposition 3.22. *Let (P,s) be a decorated principal bundle, $(E,\mathcal{O}_X,\varphi,s)$ the associated decorated tump and $(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha})$ a weighted flag. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) *There is a weighted reduction (λ,β) of P inducing $(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha})$.*
(ii) $\mu_1(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha},\varphi)=0$.

This is Proposition 2.6.3.4 in [15]. Using Proposition 3.20 we can slightly simplify the proof.

Proof. The implication (i)⇒(ii) follows from the corresponding statement in Lemma 3.21. Now suppose that $\mu_1(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha},\varphi)=0$. Let $f:U\to X$ be an fppf-morphism and $h:f^*P\to U\times G$ a trivialization. Let η be the generic point of U and K its function field. Then, h also induces trivializations $f^*E\cong W\otimes\mathcal{O}_U$ of T-split vector bundles and $f^*\operatorname{Aut}(P)\cong G(K)$. We consider the T-split K-vector space $\mathbb{E}:=f^*E_{|\eta}$. Choose a one-parameter subgroup $\Lambda:K^*\to\mathrm{SL}_T(\mathbb{E})$ with associated weighted flag $(E_{\bullet|\eta},\underline{\alpha})$. By assumption we have $\mu(\Lambda,f^*\varphi(\tau))=0$, so that by Lemma 3.21 there is a one-parameter subgroup Λ' of $G(K)$ inducing the same weighted flag. Finally, there are a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda:\mathbb{C}^*\to G$ and an element $g\in G(K)$ such that $\lambda\times\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Spec}(K)}=g\cdot\Lambda'\cdot g^{-1}$.

The flag $E_\bullet$ determines a reduction $\beta':X\to\mathrm{Iso}_T(W,E)/Q_{\mathrm{GL}_T(W)}(\lambda)$. Let us consider the trivialization $h':=g\cdot h$. Then $h'\circ\beta'_{|\eta}=[\mathrm{id}_W\otimes\mathrm{id}_K]$ lies in $G(K)/Q_{G(K)}(\lambda)$ and thus β extends to a reduction $\beta:X\to P/Q_G(\lambda)$. □

Proposition 3.23 (Semistable Reduction)**.** *A decorated pseudo principal bundle is $(\delta,\underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable if and only if it comes from a (δ,χ)-(semi-)stable decorated principal bundle.*

Proof. Suppose (E,τ,s) is a $(\delta,\underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable decorated pseudo principal bundle. By part (i) of Remark 2.21 and Lemma 3.13, (E,τ,s) is the image of a decorated principal bundle (P,s). The implication (i)⇒(ii) in Proposition 3.22, part (ii) of Remark 2.21 and Lemma 3.9 show that (P,s) is (δ,χ)-(semi-)stable.

Now suppose (P,s) is a (δ,χ)-(semi-)stable decorated principal bundle, (E,τ,s) the associated decorated pseudo principal bundle and $(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha})$ a weighted flag of E. Because of Lemma 3.13 we have $\mu_1(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha},\varphi(\tau))\geq 0$. If $\mu_1(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha},\varphi(\tau))=0$ holds, then by Proposition 3.22 there exists a weighted reduction (λ,β) of P which induces $(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha})$. By Lemma 3.9 we have

$$M_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha})+\delta\mu_2(E_\bullet,\underline{\alpha},s)(\geq)0\,.$$

Thus, (E,τ,s) is $(\delta,\underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable.

□

Corollary 3.24. *The category of $(\delta,\underline{\chi})$-(semi-)stable decorated pseudo principal bundles is equivalent to the category of (δ,χ)-(semi-)stable decorated principal bundles.*

3.7. **Construction of the Parameter Space.** As in the case of tumps, the parameter space is constructed as the fine moduli space of another moduli functor. Let Y^t be a complex vector space of dimension $p_t(n):=d_t+r_t(n+1-g)$, $t\in T$, and set $Y:=(Y^t,t\in T)$.

Definition 3.25. A *family of decorated quotient pseudo principal bundles* parametrized by a scheme S is tuple (q_S,N_S,τ_S,s_S), where $q_S:Y\otimes\mathrm{pr}_X^*\mathcal{O}_X(-n)\to E_S$ is a quotient of T-split vector bundles with $\underline{r}(E)=\underline{r}$, such that

$$\mathrm{pr}_{S*}(q_S\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{pr}_X^*\mathcal{O}_X(n)}):Y\otimes\mathcal{O}_S\to\mathrm{pr}_{S*}(E_S\otimes\mathrm{pr}_X^*\mathcal{O}_X(n))$$

is an isomorphism, and (E_S,N_S,τ_S,s_S) is a family of decorated pseudo principal bundles.

Proposition 3.26. *The fine moduli space* QPPB_n *of decorated quotient pseudo principal bundles exists.*

Proof. Let Quot_n be the scheme from Proposition 2.12 with the universal quotient of T-split vector bundles $q:Y\otimes\mathrm{pr}_X^*\mathcal{O}_X(-n)\to Q$. The determinant of the total sheaf $Q_{\mathrm{tot}}:=\bigoplus_{t\in T}Q^t$ determines a morphism $\mathrm{Quot}_n\to\mathrm{Jac}^0$. Let P_1 be the fiber over the point corresponding to the trivial bundle $\mathcal{O}_X$. Then there is a line bundle $\mathcal{A}$ on P_1, such that $\det(Q_{\mathrm{tot}})\cong\mathrm{pr}_{P_1}^*\mathcal{A}$. Using the canonical isomorphism

$$Q_{\mathrm{tot}}^\vee=\det(Q_{\mathrm{tot}})^\vee\otimes\bigwedge^{r-1}Q_{\mathrm{tot}}\,.$$

the surjection $Y \otimes \mathrm{pr}_X^* \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \to Q$ on $P_1 \times X$ induces a surjection

$$\mathrm{Sym}\left(W \otimes \mathrm{pr}_{P_1}^* \mathcal{A}^\vee \otimes \bigwedge^{r-1} \left(Y_{\mathrm{tot}} \otimes \mathrm{pr}_X^* (\mathcal{O}_X(-n)) \right) \right)^G \to \mathrm{Sym}\left(\bigoplus_{t \in T} W^t \otimes Q^{t^\vee} \right)^G$$

with $Y_{\mathrm{tot}} := \bigoplus_{t \in T} Y^t$. Over P_1 we consider the sheaf

$$P_2 := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d} \mathrm{Hom}\left(\mathrm{Sym}^i \left(W \otimes \mathrm{pr}_{P_1}^* \mathcal{A}^\vee \otimes \bigwedge^{r-1} Y_{\mathrm{tot}} \right)^G, \mathrm{pr}_{P_1 *} \mathrm{pr}_X^* \mathcal{O}_X(in(r-1)) \right) .$$

For large enough n this sheaf is locally free and the evaluation maps

$$\mathrm{ev}_i : H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(in(r-1))) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P_2 \times X} \to \mathrm{pr}_X^* \mathcal{O}_X(in(r-1)) , \qquad i = 1, \dots, d$$

are surjective. We compose these with the tautological homomorphisms to construct the homomorphisms

$$\varphi_i \colon \mathrm{Sym}^i \left(W \otimes \mathrm{pr}_{P_1}^* \mathcal{A}^\vee \otimes \bigwedge^{r-1} Y_{\mathrm{tot}} \right)^G \to \mathrm{pr}_X^* \mathcal{O}_X(in(r-1)) , \quad i = 1, \dots, d$$

on $P_2 \times X$. We tensor each φ_i with $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{pr}_X^* \mathcal{O}_X(-in(r-1))}$ and consider the homomorphism

$$\varphi \colon \mathcal{W} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d} \mathrm{Sym}^i \left(W \otimes \mathrm{pr}_{P_1}^* \mathcal{A}^\vee \otimes \bigwedge^{r-1} \left(Y_{\mathrm{tot}} \otimes \mathrm{pr}_X^* \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \right) \right)^G \to \mathcal{O}_{P_2 \times X} .$$

This determines a homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{P_2 \times X}$-algebras

$$\tau_2 \colon \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{W}) \to \mathcal{O}_{P_2 \times X} ,$$

By our choice of d we also have a surjection of graded $\mathcal{O}_{P_2 \times X}$-algebras

$$\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{W}) \to \mathrm{Sym}\left(\bigoplus_{t \in T} W^t \otimes \mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{Quot}_n}^* Q^{t^\vee} \right)^G .$$

Let $P_3 \subset P_2$ be the closed subscheme, such that τ_2 factors through this surjection over $P_3 \times X$. We obtain the homomorphism

$$\tau_3 \colon \mathrm{Sym}\left(\bigoplus_{t \in T} W^t \otimes \mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{Quot}}^* Q^{t^\vee} \right)^G \to \mathcal{O}_{P_3 \times X} .$$

Let QPPB_n be the associated projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{Quot}_n}^* Q_\sigma)_{|P_3 \times \{x_0\}}$ over P_3. On $\mathrm{QPPB}_n \times X$ we have the quotient $\tilde{q} := \mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{Quot}_n}^* q$ of T-split vector bundles and the homomorphism $\tilde{\tau} := \mathrm{pr}_{P_3 \times X}^* \tau_3$. Together with the tautological homomorphism $\tilde{s} : \tilde{E}_\sigma \to \tilde{N} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{QPPB}_n)}(1)$ we have a universal family $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{N}, \tilde{\tau}, \tilde{s})$ of decorated quotient pseudo principal bundles. □

3.8. **Proof of Theorem 3.8.** Let QTmp_n be the moduli space of decorated quotient tumps from Proposition 2.12 and QPPB_n the moduli space of decorated quotient pseudo principal bundles from Proposition 3.26. The associated family of decorated quotient tumps determines a $\mathrm{GL}_T(Y)$-equivariant morphism $f : \mathrm{QPPB}_n \to \mathrm{QTmp}_n$. We let

$$\mathrm{QPPB}_n^{(\delta, \underline{\chi})\text{-(s)s}} := f^{-1}\left(\mathrm{QTmp}_n^{(\Delta, \delta, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})\text{-(s)s}} \right)$$

denote the open subscheme of (semi-)stable objects.

By Proposition 2.23 the class of asymptotically $(\delta, \underline{\kappa}, \underline{\chi})$-semistable decorated tumps is bounded. Hence, there exists an n_0 such that for every $n \geq n_0$ and every decorated pseudo principal bundle (E, τ, s) the T-split bundle $E(n)$ is globally generated and $H^1(E^t(n))$ vanishes for all $t \in T$. An easy consequence is the following lemma.

Lemma 3.27. *The family* $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{N}, \tilde{\tau}, \tilde{s})$ *on* $\mathrm{QPPB}_n^{(\delta, \underline{\chi})\text{-(s)s}}$ *satisfies the local universal property for families of* $(\delta, \underline{\chi})$*-(semi-)stable decorated pseudo principal bundles.*

There is a natural action of $\mathrm{GL}_T(Y)$ on QPPB_n. As in the case of tumps one checks the following:

Lemma 3.28. *Let* $f_1, f_2 : S \to \mathrm{QPPB}_n^{(\delta,\underline{\chi})\text{-(s)s}}$ *be two morphisms. Then the pullbacks of the locally universal family are isomorphic if and only if there exists a morphism* $g : S \to \mathrm{GL}_T(Y)$ *such that* $g \cdot f_1 = f_2$*.*

To finish the proof it suffices to construct the $\mathrm{GL}_T(Y)$-quotient of $\mathrm{QPPB}_n^{(\delta,\underline{\chi})\text{-(s)s}}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.8. Since $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts trivially on Quot_n, we can construct the weighted projective space $Z := \mathrm{QPPB}_n/\mathbb{C}^*$ over Quot_n. Because $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts trivially on QTmp_n, the morphism f factors through a morphism $\bar{f} : Z \to \mathrm{QTmp}_n$ over Quot_n. This morphism is proper and by Lemma 3.17 also injective. Thus, by [8], 8.11.1, it is finite and in particular affine. The same is true for the restriction

$$\bar{f} : \mathrm{QPPB}_n^{(\delta,\underline{\chi})\text{-(s)s}}/\mathbb{C}^* = \bar{f}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{QTmp}_n^{(\Delta,\delta,\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi})\text{-(s)s}}\right) \to \mathrm{QTmp}_n^{(\Delta,\delta,\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi})\text{-(s)s}} .$$

If we suppose $a\delta < 1$, then the proof of Theorem 2.9 shows that the (projective) $\mathrm{SL}_T(Y)$-quotient of the right-hand side exists. By [14], 5.1 Lemma, the (projective) GIT-quotient

$$\mathrm{QPPB}_n^{(\delta,\underline{\chi})\text{-(s)s}} /\!\!/ \mathrm{GL}_T(Y) = (\mathrm{QPPB}_n^{(\delta,\underline{\chi})\text{-(s)s}}/\mathbb{C}^*) /\!\!/ \mathrm{SL}_T(Y)$$

also exists. □

4. Examples

We show that the construction from Section 3 specializes to parabolic bundles or principal bundles with a level structure for certain choices of σ.

4.1. Parabolic Principal Bundles.

Let $Q \subset P$ be a parabolic subgroup and w a rational one-parameter subgroup of G with $Q_G(w) = Q$.

Definition 4.1. A *parabolic principal bundle* is a principal bundle P on X together with a point $s \in P/Q_{|x_0}$.

We consider the embedding $\iota := \iota_{\underline{\kappa}} \circ \kappa : G \to \mathrm{GL}(W_{\mathrm{tot}})$. The one-parameter subgroup $\iota_* w$ defines a weighted flag $(W_\bullet, \underline{\beta})$ of W_{tot}. Let l be the length and $\underline{r}$ the type of this flag. Then the embedding ι also induces a closed embedding of G/Q into the flag variety $\mathrm{Fl}(W_{\mathrm{tot}}, \underline{r}) \cong \mathrm{GL}(W_{\mathrm{tot}})/Q_{\mathrm{GL}(W_{\mathrm{tot}})}(i_* w)$. Using the Plücker and the Segre embedding we obtain an embedding $i : G/Q \to \mathbb{P}(V)$ with

$$V := \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{l} \bigwedge^{r-r_i} W_{\mathrm{tot}}\right)^{\otimes z\beta_i} .$$

Here, z is the least common denominator of $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_l$.

Let χ_w be the character dual to zw. The group G is a Q-principal bundle on G/Q and the associated line bundle $L(w) := G \times^{\chi_w} \mathbb{C}$ over G/Q is ample. Note that there is a natural linearization of the G-action in $L(w)$ and we have $L(w) = i^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1)$. Since P/Q is isomorphic to the associated projective bundle with fiber G/Q, we obtain a closed embedding $P/Q \to P_\sigma$, where σ is the natural G-action on V. Thus, a parabolic principal bundle defines a σ-decorated principal bundle. It is obvious that the corresponding natural transformation of moduli functors is injective.

Definition 4.2. We call a parabolic principal bundle χ-*(semi-)stable* if its associated σ-decorated principal bundle is (δ, χ)-(semi-)stable with $\delta := 1/z$. We call two parabolic principal bundles *S-equivalent* if their associated decorated principal bundles are so.

For a T-split vector subbundle $F \subset E$ and a flag $U_\bullet$ of $E_{|x_0}$ we set

$$\mathrm{pardeg}^{U_\bullet}_{\underline{\beta},\underline{\chi}}(F) := \deg_{\underline{\kappa},\underline{\chi}}(F) + \sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{l}(U_\bullet)} \beta_i \dim_{\underline{\kappa}}(U_i \cap F_{|x_0})$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let* (P, s) *be a parabolic vector bundle,* E *the* T*-split vector bundle associated with* P *and* $U_\bullet$ *the flag of* $E_{|x_0}$ *defined by* s *and* $W_\bullet$*. Then* (P, s) *is* χ*-(semi-)stable if and only if the condition*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{l}(E_\bullet)} \alpha_j \left(\mathrm{pardeg}^{U_\bullet}_{\underline{\beta},\underline{\chi}}(E)\,\mathrm{rk}(E_j) - \mathrm{pardeg}^{U_\bullet}_{\underline{\beta},\underline{\chi}}(E_j)\,\mathrm{rk}(E)\right) (\geq) 0$$

holds for every weighted filtration coming from a weighted reduction of P*.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Lemma 3.9 and the stability condition in flag varieties. □

Corollary 4.4. *For $\sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i < 1$ the (projective) moduli space of χ-(semi-)stable parabolic principal bundles exists.*

Proof. The closed embedding $G/Q \to \mathbb{P}(V)$ induces an injective natural transformation from the moduli functor of parabolic principal bundles to the moduli functor of decorated principal bundles. It also determines a closed invariant subscheme of the parameter space P and hence a closed subscheme of the moduli space of decorated principal bundles, if it exists. Since σ is polynomial and homogeneous of degree $\gamma := z\sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i(r-r_i)$, Theorem 3.8 states the existence for $\gamma/z < 1$. However, as explained in Remark 7.1 in [2] in the case of parabolic bundles one can relax this condition to $\sum_{i=1}^{l}\beta_i < 1$. □

Remark 4.5. The moduli space of parabolic bundles with semisimple structure group was constructed in [9]. By Lemma 4.3 our stability condition specializes to their condition (compare Proposition 5.1.3 in [9]). One checks that the notions of S-equivalence also agree. Thus, our result generalizes Theorem 3.2.3 in [9] for reductive groups.

4.2. **Principal Bundles with a Level Structure.** Let G be a connected, semisimple group, $G_{\mathrm{ad}} := G/Z(G)$ its adjoint group and $\pi : \tilde{G} \to G$ the universal cover. We fix a Borel subgroup $B \subset \tilde{G}$ and a maximal torus $T \subset B$. Denote by $\Phi \subset X^*(T)$ the set of roots of G, by Φ^+ the set of positive roots with respect to B and by Δ the set of simple positive roots. For every dominant weight $\chi \in X^*(T)$ there is an irreducible representation $V(\chi)$ of $\tilde{G}$ with highest weight χ. We fix a *regular* fundamental weight χ, i.e., $\langle\alpha,\chi\rangle > 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$, and set $V := V(\chi)$. The action of $\tilde{G}$ on $\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$ induces a faithful action of G_{ad}, and the morphism

$$f : G_{\mathrm{ad}} \to \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{End}(V)^\vee)$$

is a $G \times G$-equivariant locally closed embedding of G_{ad}.

Definition 4.6. The closure $\overline{G_{\mathrm{ad}}} := \overline{\mathrm{im}(f)}$ is the *wonderful compactification* of G.

This definition is in fact independent of the choice of regular highest weight χ.

Proposition 4.7 (De Concini–Procesi, [6, §3.1, Theorem]). *The wonderful compactification has the following properties:*

(i) *$\overline{G_{\mathrm{ad}}}$ is smooth.*
(ii) *$\overline{G_{\mathrm{ad}}} \setminus G_{\mathrm{ad}}$ is a union of smooth prime divisors S_α, $\alpha \in \Delta$, with normal crossings.*
(iii) *For every subset $I \subset \Delta$ the closed subscheme $S_I := \cap_{\alpha\in I} S_\alpha$ is the closure of a unique orbit, and every orbit closure is of this form.*

For a subset $I \subset \Delta$ of simple roots let Φ_I denote the roots spanned by $\Delta \setminus I$. We consider the Lie-algebras

$$\mathfrak{l}_I := \mathfrak{t} + \sum_{\alpha\in\Phi_I} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha\,,$$

as well as $\mathfrak{p}_I := \mathfrak{l}_I + \mathfrak{u}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_I^- := \mathfrak{l}_I + \mathfrak{u}^-$. Here, $\mathfrak{t}$ is the Lie algebra of the torus T, $\mathfrak{u}$ is the Lie-algebra of the unipotent radical of B and $\mathfrak{u}^-$ the Lie algebra of its opposite group. We denote the corresponding subgroups by L_I, P_I and P_I^-.

There is finite set $\mathrm{St}_T(V) \subset X^*(T)$ and a decomposition of V a direct sum of eigenspaces $V^{\chi'}$, $\chi' \in \mathrm{St}_T(V)$. We set

$$\mathrm{St}_T^I(V) := \left\{ \chi' \in \mathrm{St}_T(V) \;\middle|\; \exists\, \underline{n} \in \mathbb{N}^{\Delta\setminus I} : \chi' = \chi - \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta\setminus I} n_\alpha \alpha \right\}.$$

and $V^I := \bigoplus_{\chi'\in\mathrm{St}_T^I(V)} V^{\chi'}$. Denote by $\mathrm{pr}_I : V \to V^I$ the projection with $\mathrm{pr}_I(V^{\chi'}) = 0$ for $\chi' \notin \mathrm{St}_T^I(V)$.

Proposition 4.8 ([6, §5.2, Theorem]). *Let $I \subset \Delta$. Then O_I is the $(G\times G)$-orbit of $[\mathrm{pr}_I]$ in $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{End}(V)^\vee)$. It is a fiber bundle over $G_{\mathrm{ad}}/P_I \times G_{\mathrm{ad}}/P_I^-$ with typical fiber $(L_I)_{\mathrm{ad}}$.*

Remark 4.9. Let χ_α, $\alpha \in \Delta$, be dominant weights, such that their sum is regular. This is the case for the fundamental weights. Then one can construct the wonderful compactification also as the closure of the image of

$$G_{\mathrm{ad}} \to \prod_{\alpha\in\Delta} \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{End}(V(\chi_\alpha))^\vee)\,.$$

If the χ_α are such that the $\tilde{G}$ action on $V(\chi_\alpha)$ comes from a representation of G, then for a tuple of positive integers θ_α, $\alpha \in \Delta$, we obtain a natural linearization of the $G \times G$ action in the ample line bundle

$$\mathcal{O}_{\overline{G_{\mathrm{ad}}}}(\underline{\theta}) := \bigotimes_{\alpha\in\Delta} \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{End}(V(\chi_\alpha))^\vee)}\, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{End}(V(\chi_\alpha))^\vee)}(\theta_\alpha)$$

Let σ denote the left action of $G \cong \{e\} \times G$ on $\overline{G_{\mathrm{ad}}}$.

Definition 4.10. A *level structure* on a principal G-bundle P is a point $s \in (P \times^\sigma \overline{G_{\mathrm{ad}}})_{|x_0}$.

Remark 4.11. By Proposition 4.8, the datum of a level structure in P corresponds to the datum of a subset $I \subset \Delta$, a reduction of $P_{|x_0}$ to P_I^-, a point in G/P_I and a point in a fiber isomorphic to $(L_I)_{\mathrm{ad}}$.

If χ is such that $V(\chi)$ is a representation of G, then there is a natural linearization of σ in the ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{End}(V(\chi))^\vee}(1)$. A principal bundle with a level structure is a σ-decorated principal bundle. Since we assume G to be semisimple, there are no non-trivial characters on G. The principal bundles with a level structure thus inherit a notion of δ_2-(semi-)stability.

Corollary 4.12. *For* $a_2\delta_2 < 1$ *the (projective) moduli space of* δ_2*-(semi-)stable principal bundles with a level structure exists.*

4.3. **Stability of level structures.** While the existence of the moduli space of bundles with a level structure is a trivial consequence of the general theory, it is interesting to express the stability condition in terms of associated vector bundles for the classical groups.

4.3.1. *The special linear group.* We start by discussing the case $G = \mathrm{SL}(n)$. By Remark 4.9, the wonderful compactification $\overline{\mathrm{PSL}}(n)$ is the closure of the image of $\mathrm{SL}(n)$ in

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{End}\left(\bigwedge^{i} \mathbb{C}^r\right)^\vee\right)\,.$$

By Remark 4.11, a point h in $\overline{\mathrm{PSL}}(n)$ corresponds to a sequence $1 \le r_1 < \ldots < r_k < r_{k+1} = n$, a descending flag $W_\bullet$ in $\mathbb{C}^r$ of length k with $\dim(W_j) = r - r_j$, an ascending flag $W'_\bullet$ in $\mathbb{C}^r$ with $\dim(W'_j) = r_j$ and isomorphisms $[\alpha_j] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Hom}(W_{j-1}/W_j, W'_j/W'_{j-1})^\vee)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k+1$. For a number $1 \le i \le n$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} j_-(i) &: = \max\{j \,|\, j = 0, \ldots, k\,,\ r_j < i\}\,, & i_- &: = r_{j_-(i)}\,,\\ j^+(i) &: = \min\{j \,|\, j = 1, \ldots, k\,,\ i \le r_j\}\,, & i^+ &: = r_{j^+(i)}\,. \end{aligned}$$

According to [10, Proposition 1] the point h is represented by the homomorphisms h_i induced by the α_j via the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \bigwedge^{i} \mathbb{C}^r \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[d, dashed, "h_i"'] & \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{j_-(i)} \bigwedge^{r_j-r_{j-1}} (W_{j-1}/W_j)\right) \otimes \bigwedge^{i-i_-} (W_{j_-(i)}/W_{j^+(i)}) \arrow[d] \\ \bigwedge^{i} \mathbb{C}^n & \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{j_-(i)} \bigwedge^{r_j-r_{j-1}} (W'_j/W'_{j-1})\right) \otimes \bigwedge^{i-i_-} (W'_{j^+(i)}/W'_{j_-(i)})\,. \arrow[l, tail] \end{tikzcd} \tag{12}$$

We fix an ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathrm{PSL}}(n)}(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{n-1})$.

Lemma 4.13. *Let $\lambda \in X_*(\mathrm{SL}(n))$ be a one-parameter subgroup with associated weighted flag $(V_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ and $h \in \overline{\mathrm{PSL}}(n)$ with descending flag $W_\bullet$ as above. Then we have*

$$\mu(\lambda, h) = \sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{l}(V_\bullet)} \alpha_j \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \theta_i \left(n\, c_i(V_j, W_\bullet) - i \dim(V_j)\right)$$

with

$$c_i(U, W_\bullet) := \min\left\{\dim(U/(U \cap W_{j^+(i)})), \dim(U/(U \cap W_{j_-(i)})) + i - i_-\right\}. \tag{13}$$

Proof. For fixed j and i one needs to determine the maximal dimension of a subspace U of V_j, such that its basis vectors occur in a pure vector v in $\bigwedge^i \mathbb{C}^n$ with $h_i(v) \neq 0$. This is equivalent to $U \cap W_{j^+(i)} = \{0\}$ and $\dim(U \cap W_{j_-(i)}) \leq i - i_-$. □

Let now (P, s) be an $\mathrm{SL}(n)$-bundle with a level structure. The bundle P corresponds to a rank-n vector bundle E with trivial determinant. By Remark 4.11, the datum of a level structure s on E determines a sequence $1 \leq r_1 < \ldots < r_k < r_{k+1} = n$ and a descending flag $W_\bullet$ in $E_{|x_0}$ of length k with $\dim(W_j) = r - r_j$. An easy consequence of Lemma 4.13 is the following:

Proposition 4.14. *The $\mathrm{SL}(n)$-bundle P with level structure s is δ-(semi-)stable if and only if every non-trivial proper subbundle F of the associated vector bundle E satisfies*

$$\deg(F) n \,(\leq)\, \delta \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \theta_i \left(c_i(F_{|x_0}, W_\bullet) n - i \,\mathrm{rk}(F)\right)$$

Remark 4.15. This is just the stability condition for vector bundles with a level structure with trivial determinant (c.f. [12, Théorème A] and [2, Proposition 7.3]).

4.3.2. *The odd orthogonal group.* Now we consider the case $G = \mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})$ with $n = 2r + 1$. Let $\mathrm{SO}(n) \subset \mathrm{GL}(n)$ be the subgroup leaving invariant the symmetric bilinear form given by

$$M_n := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & 1 \\ & \iddots & \\ 1 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}.$$

on $V := \mathbb{C}^n$. A maximal torus T is then given by the diagonal matrices in $\mathrm{SO}(n)$. As a Borel subgroup we choose the upper triangular matrices in $\mathrm{SO}(n)$. We define the characters

$$L_i(\mathrm{diag}(z_1, \ldots, z_r, 1, z_r^{-1}, \ldots, z_1^{-1})) := z_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, r.$$

The simple roots are $\alpha_i := L_i - L_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r-1$ and $\alpha_r := L_r$; the corresponding fundamental weights are $\omega_i := \sum_{j=1}^{j} L_j$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r-1$ and $\omega_r := \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{r} L_j$. In order to obtain representations of $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ rather than the spin group we work with the weights $\chi_i := \omega_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r-1$ and $\chi_r := 2\omega_r$. The corresponding irreducible representations are just $V(\chi_i) = \bigwedge^i V$ (see e.g. [7, §18]). According to Remark 4.9 the wonderful compactification $\overline{\mathrm{SO}}(n)$ can be constructed inside

$$Y := \prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{End}\left(\bigwedge^i \mathbb{C}^{2r+1}\right)^\vee\right).$$

A point $h \in \overline{\mathrm{SO}}(n)$ corresponds to a subset $\underline{r} \subset \{1, \ldots, r\}$ and a descending coisotropic flag $W_\bullet$ of length $k = |\underline{r}|$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with $\dim(W_j) = n - r_j$, an ascending isotropic flag $W'_\bullet$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with $\dim(W'_j) = r_j$ and isomorphisms $[\alpha_j] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Hom}(W_{j-1}/W_i, W'_{j-1}/W'_i)^\vee)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k+1$. Here we use $W_{k+1} := W_k^\perp$ and $W'_{k+1} = W_k'^\perp$. Note that α_{k+1} is orthogonal.

The point h can reconstructed from these data via the diagram (12). We now fix an ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathrm{SO}}(n)}(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_r)$.

Lemma 4.16. *Let $\lambda \in X_*(\mathrm{SO}(n))$ be a one-parameter subgroup with associated weighted flag $(V_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ and $h \in \overline{\mathrm{SO}}(n)$ with descending coisotropic flag $W_\bullet$ as above. Then we have*

$$\mu(\lambda, h) = \sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{l}(V_\bullet)/2} \alpha_j \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \theta_i n c'_i(V_j, W_\bullet)$$

with

$$c'_i(U, W_\bullet) := c_i(U, W_\bullet) + c_i(U^\perp, W_\bullet) - i\,.$$

Proof. The one-parameter subgroup λ determines a weighted flag of the form

$$\{0\} \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_s \subset V_s^\perp \subset \cdots \subset V_1^\perp \subset \mathbb{C}^n$$

with isotropic spaces $V_1, \ldots, V_s$ and weights $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s, \alpha_s, \ldots, \alpha_1)$. Since $\dim(U^\perp) = n - \dim(U)$ the formula follows from Lemma 4.13. □

Let (P, s) be an $\mathrm{SO}(n)$-bundle with a level structure. The bundle P determines a vector bundle E of rank n together with a symmetric isomorphism $\varphi : E \to E^\vee$. A level structure on P determines a subset $\underline{r} \subset \{1, \ldots, r\}$ and a descending coisotropic flag $W_\bullet$ of length $k = |\underline{r}|$ in E_{x_0} with $\dim(W_j) = n - r_j$.

Proposition 4.17. *The* $\mathrm{SO}(n)$*-bundle* P *with a level structure is* δ*-(semi-)stable if and only if every isotropic subbundle* $F \subset E$ *satisfies*

$$2\deg(F)(\leq)\delta \sum_{i=1}^{r} \theta_i c'_i(F_{|x_0}, W_\bullet)\,.$$

Proof. The test objects are weighted reductions of P to a parabolic subgroup, i.e. flags of the form

$$\{0\} \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_l \subset F_l^\perp \subset \cdots \subset F_1^\perp \subset E \tag{14}$$

Since $\deg(F_j) = \deg(F_j^\perp)$ and $\deg(E) = 0$ the claim follows from Lemma 4.16. □

4.3.3. *The symplectic group.* We come to the case $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2r)$. Let $\mathrm{Sp}(2r) \subset \mathrm{GL}(2r)$ be the subgroup fixing the antisymmetric bilinear form

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_r \\ -M_r & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

on $V := \mathbb{C}^{2r}$. With this convention, we can choose the set of diagonal matrices as a maximal torus T and the set of upper triangular matrices as a Borel subgroup. We define the characters $L_i \in X^*(T)$ by

$$L_i(\mathrm{diag}(z_1, \ldots, z_r, z_r^{-1}, \ldots, z_1^{-1})) := z_i\,.$$

Then, the primitive roots are $\alpha_i := L_i - L_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ and $2L_r$. The corresponding fundamental weights are $\omega_i := \sum_{j=1}^{j} L_j$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Let $\varphi_i : \bigwedge^i V \to \bigwedge^{i-2} V$ be the contraction using J. Then, the irreducible representations with highest weight ω_i are $V_1 := V$ for $i = 1$ and $V_i := \ker(\varphi_i)$ for $i = 2, \ldots, r$ (see [7, §17]). Note that a pure vector in $\bigwedge^i V$ lies in V_i if and only if it is a wedge product of basis vectors of an isotropic subspace. The wonderful compactification $\overline{\mathrm{Sp}}(2r)$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(2r)$ can thus be constructed inside

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{End}(V_i)^\vee\right)\,.$$

A point $h \in \overline{\mathrm{Sp}}(2r)$ determines a subset $\{r_1, \ldots, r_k\} \subset \{1, \ldots, r\}$, a descending coisotropic flag $W_\bullet$ of length k in V with $\dim(W_j) = 2r - r_j$, an ascending isotropic flag $W'_\bullet$ in V with $\dim(W'_j) = r_j$, isomorphisms $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Hom}(W_{j-1}/W_j, W'_j/W'_{j-1})^\vee)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$ and a symplectic isomorphism $[\alpha_{k+1}] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Hom}(W_k/W_k^\perp, W_k'^\perp/W'_k)^\vee)$. The homomorphisms h_i defined as in (12) restrict to endomorphisms of V_i, which represent h.

We fix an ample line bundle on $\overline{\mathrm{Sp}}(2r)$ by choosing positive integers $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_r$.

Lemma 4.18. *Let* $\lambda \in X_*(\mathrm{Sp}(2r))$ *be a one-parameter subgroup with associated weighted flag* $(V_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ *and* $h \in \overline{\mathrm{Sp}}(2r)$ *with descending coisotropic flag* $W_\bullet$ *as above. Then we have*

$$\mu(\lambda, h) = 2r \sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{l}(V_\bullet)/2} \alpha_j \sum_{i=1}^{r} \theta_i \max\{c'_i(V_j, \tilde{W}_\bullet) \mid \tilde{W}_\bullet \in D(W_\bullet)\}\,.$$

Here, $D(W_\bullet)$ *denotes the set of all extensions* $\tilde{W}_\bullet : W_1 \supset \cdots \supset W_k \supset W$ *of* $W_\bullet$ *such that* W *is maximal isotropic.*

Proof. The flag $V_\bullet$ is of the form

$$\{0\} \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_s \subseteq V_{s+1} = V_s^\perp \subset \cdots \subset V_{2s} = V_1^\perp \subset V$$

with isotropic subspaces $V_1, \ldots, V_s$ and weights $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s, \alpha_s, \ldots, \alpha_1)$. Now for fixed i and j one needs to determine a subspace $U \subset V_j$ of maximal dimension, such its basis vectors occur in a wedge product $v \in V_i$ with $h_i(v) \neq 0$. The former condition means that U is isotropic. Now one can always choose a symplectic basis of V that extends bases of $W_\bullet$ and V_j. Then it is clear that for such a subspace U there exists an isotropic subspace $W \subset W_k$ with $U \cap W = \{0\}$. Conversely, if $W \subset W_k$ is maximal isotropic, then there exists an isotropic subspace $U \subset V_j$ with $U \cap W = \{0\}$ and $\dim(U \cap W_{j_-(i)}) \leq i - i_-$ of dimension $c(V_j, \tilde{W}_\bullet)$. □

Let now P be a principal $\mathrm{Sp}(2r)$-bundle with a level structure s. The datum of P is equivalent to the datum of a vector bundle E of rank $2r$ with an antisymmetric isomorphism $\varphi : E \to E^\vee$. The level structure determines a subset $\{r_1, \ldots, r_k\} \subset \{1, \ldots, r\}$ and a descending coisotropic flag $W_\bullet$ of length k in $E_{|x_0}$ with $\dim(W_j) = 2r - r_j$.

Proposition 4.19. *The symplectic bundle P with level structure s is δ-(semi-)stable if and only if every non-trivial proper isotropic subbundle F of the associated vector bundle E satisfies*

$$2\deg(F)(\leq)\,\delta \sum_{i=1}^{r} \theta_r \max\{c_i'(F_{|x_0}, \tilde{W}_\bullet) \,|\, \tilde{W}_\bullet \in D(W_\bullet)\}\,.$$

4.3.4. *The even orthogonal group.* Finally, let $\mathrm{SO}(2r) \subset \mathrm{GL}(2r)$ be the subgroup fixing the symmetric bilinear form given by M_{2r} on $V := \mathbb{C}^{2r}$. Here, the discussion is complicated by the presence of two types of maximal isotropic subspaces, the self-dual and the anti-self-dual ones. The isomorphism $\bigwedge^{2r} V \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by $e_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{2r} \mapsto 1$ defines a non-degenerate bilinear from $\wedge : \bigwedge^r V \times \bigwedge^r V \to \mathbb{C}$ and hence an isomorphism $\psi : \bigwedge^r V \to \bigwedge^r V^\vee$. The map $\tau := \psi^{-1} \circ \wedge^r J$ satisfies $\tau^2 = \mathrm{id}$ and the representation $\bigwedge^r V$ decomposes into invariant eigenspaces $V^\pm$ with eigenvalues ± 1. The image of a subspace U of V under the Plücker embedding lies in the projectivization of one of these if and only if U is maximal isotropic. One says that U is *self-dual* or of type $+1$ if its image lies in $\mathbb{P}(V^+)$ and *anti-self-dual* or of type -1 if its image lies in $\mathbb{P}(V^-)$. Note that if U and W are maximal isotropic subspaces of type a and b respectively, then $a = b(-1)^{\dim(U \cap W) - r}$.

Let T be the maximal torus of diagonal matrices and B the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices. We define the characters L_i in $X^*(T)$ by

$$L_i(\mathrm{diag}(z_1, \ldots, z_r, z_r^{-1}, \ldots, z_1^{-1})) := z_i\,, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq r\,.$$

The simple roots are $\alpha_i := L_i - L_{i+1}$ and $\alpha_r := L_{r-1} + L_r$; the corresponding fundamental weights are $\omega_i := \sum_{j=1}^{i} L_j$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r-2$ and $\omega_\pm := \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} L_j \pm L_r)$. We work with the weights $\chi_i := \omega_i$ and $\chi_\pm := 2\omega_\pm$. Their irreducible representations are $V(\chi_i) = \bigwedge^i V$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r-2$ and $V(\chi_\pm) = V^\pm$ (see [7, §19]). The wonderful compactification $\overline{\mathrm{SO}}(2r)$ is the closure of $\mathrm{SO}(2r)$ in

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{End}(V_r^+)^\vee) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{End}(V_r^-)^\vee) \times \prod_{i=1}^{r-2} \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{End}(\bigwedge^i V)^\vee)\,.$$

A point $h \in \overline{\mathrm{SO}}(n)$ determines the following: A subset I of $\{1, \ldots, r-2, +, -\}$, a descending coisotropic flag $W_\bullet$ of length k in V with $\dim(W_j) = 2r - r_j$, an ascending isotropic flag $W'_\bullet$ in V with $\dim(W'_j) = r_j$ and isomorphisms $[\alpha_j] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Hom}(W_{j-1}/W_j, W'_j/W'_{j-1})^\vee)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$.

Furthermore, if $\{+, -\} \cap I = \varnothing$ there is an orthogonal isomorphism

$$[\alpha_{k+1}] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Hom}(W_k/W_k^\perp, W_k'^\perp/W_k')^\vee)\,.$$

For $\pm \in I$ there is a maximal isotropic subspace $W_\pm \subset W_k$ of type $\pm(-1)^r$ and a maximal isotropic subspace $W'_\pm \supset W'_k$ of type $\pm$. If $\pm \in I$ and $\mp \notin I$, then there is an isomorphism

$$[\alpha_\pm] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Hom}(W_k/W_\pm, W'_\pm/W'_k)^\vee)\,.$$

If we have $\{+, -\} \subset I$, then $W_{k+1} := W_+ + W_-$ is coisotropic of dimension $r+1$, $W'_{k+1} := W'_+ \cap W'_-$ is isotropic of dimension $r-1$ and there are isomorphisms $[\alpha_{k+1}] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Hom}(W_k/W_{k+1}, W'_{k+1}/W'_k)^\vee)$ and

$[\alpha_\pm] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Hom}(W_{k+1}/W_\pm, W'_\pm/W'_{k+1})^\vee)$. Note that in this case there are canonical isomorphisms

$$(W_{k+1}/W_+)^\vee \cong (W_+/(W_+ \cap W_-)) \cong W_{k+1}/W_- \,,$$
$$(W'_+/W'_{k+1})^\vee \cong (W'_+ + W'_-)/W'_+ \cong W'_-/W'_{k+1} \,,$$

and one has $[(\alpha_+^\vee)^{-1}] = [\alpha_-]$ in $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Hom}(W_{k+1}/W_\pm, W'_\pm/W'_{k+1})^\vee)$.

We have to explain how to reconstruct h from the data above: For $i = 1, \dots, r-2$ we define homomorphisms h_i as in (12). If $\{+,-\} \cap I = \varnothing$, the homomorphism h_r defined as in (12) using $W_{k+1} := W_k^\perp$ and $W'_{k+1} := W_k'^\perp$ restricts to homomorphisms $h_\pm : E^\pm_{|x_0} \to V^\pm$ because α_{k+1} is orthogonal. If $\{+,-\} \subset I$, then one defines h_+ and h_- via (12) with the flags $W_1 \supset \cdots \supset (W_+ + W_-) \supset W_\pm$ and $W'_1 \subset \cdots \subset W'_+ \cap W'_- \subset W'_\pm$.

If $\{+,-\} \cap I = \{+\}$, h_+ can be constructed via (12) using $W_{k+1} := W_+$, $W'_{k+1} := W'_+$ and $\alpha_{k+1} = \alpha_+$. In order to define h_-, we consider the isomorphism

$$(\alpha_+^\vee)^{-1} : W_+/W_k^\perp \cong (W_k/W_+)^\vee \to (W'_+/W'_k)^\vee \cong W_k'^\perp/W'_+ \,.$$

Now, h_- is defined as the homomorphism induced by $\alpha_1, \dots \alpha_k, \alpha_+$ and $(\alpha_+^\vee)^{-1}$ via

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bigwedge^{r} V \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[d, dashed, "h_-"'] & \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{k} \bigwedge^{r_j - r_{j-1}} (W_{j-1}/W_j)\right) \otimes \left(\bigwedge^{r-r_k-1} W_k/W_+\right) \otimes W_+/W_k^\perp \arrow[d] \\
\bigwedge^{r} V & \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{k} \bigwedge^{r_j - r_{j-1}} (W'_j/W'_{j-1})\right) \otimes \left(\bigwedge^{r-r_k-1} W'_+/W_k'^\perp\right) \otimes W_k'^\perp/W'_+ \,. \arrow[l, tail]
\end{tikzcd}$$

A similar construction yields h_+ if $\{+,-\} \cap I = \{-\}$. These homomorphisms represent h.

We define $D_\pm(W_\bullet)$ to be the set of flags $W_1 \supset \cdots \supset W_k \supset W$ with the following properties:

- If $\pm \in I$, then $W = W_\pm$.
- In any case, W is maximal isotropic of type $\pm(-1)^r$.
- If $\mp \in I$, then $\dim(W + W_\mp) = r + 1$.

Lemma 4.20. *Let $\lambda \in X_*(\mathrm{SO}(2r))$ be a one-parameter subgroup with associated weighted flag $(V_\bullet, \underline{\alpha})$ and $h \in \overline{\mathrm{SO}}(2r)$ with descending coisotropic flag $W_\bullet$ as above. Then we have*

$$\mu(\lambda, [h_\pm]) = \max\left\{ c'_r(U, \tilde{W}_\bullet) \;\middle|\; \tilde{W}_\bullet \in D_\pm(W_\bullet) \right\} =: c'_\pm(U, W_\bullet) \,.$$

Proof. Since any two parabolic subgroups contain a common maximal torus, we may assume that $V_\bullet$ and $W_\bullet$ are defined using the standard basis of V. If $\{+,-\} \cap I = \varnothing$, then the argument is the same as in the symplectic case. If $+ \in I$, the set $D_+(W_\bullet)$ contains precisely one flag and the result is obvious. Similarly if $- \in I$. Suppose now that $\{+,-\} \cap I = \{+\}$. Then for a subspace $U \subset V_j$ contained in an anti-self-dual maximal isotropic subspace U' with $\dim(U' \cap W_+) = 1$ and $U' \cap W_k^\perp = \{0\}$ there exists a maximal isotropic complement $W \subset W_k$ of U' of type $-(-1)^r$ with $\dim(W + W_+) = r + 1$. Conversely, if $W \subset W_k$ is a maximal isotropic subspace of type $-(-1)^r$ with $\dim(W + W_+) = r + 1$, then there is a subspace $U \subset V_j$ of dimension $c(V_j, \tilde{W}_\bullet)$ that is contained in an anti-self-dual maximal isotropic subspace $U' \subset V$ with with $\dim(U' \cap W_+) = 1$ and $U' \cap W_k^\perp = \{0\}$. □

Let P be an $\mathrm{SO}(2r)$-bundle with a level structure. The bundle P determines a vector bundle E of rank $2r$ together with a symmetric isomorphism $\varphi : E \to E^\vee$. A level structure on P provides a subset I of $\{1, \dots, r-2, +, -\}$ and a descending coisotropic flag $W_\bullet$ of length k in $E_{|x_0}$ with $\dim(W_j) = 2r - r_j$. We fix an ample line bundle on $\overline{\mathrm{SO}}(2r)$ by choosing positive integers $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{r-2}, \theta_-$ and θ_+.

Proposition 4.21. *The $\mathrm{SO}(2r)$-bundle P with a level structure is δ-(semi-)stable if and only if every non-trivial proper isotropic subbundle F of the associated vector bundle E satisfies*

$$2\deg(F) \,(\leq)\, \delta\theta_+ c'_+(F_{|x_0}, W_\bullet) + \delta\theta_- c'_-(F_{|x_0}, W_\bullet) + \delta \sum_{i=1}^{r-2} \theta_i c'_i(F_{|x_0}, W_\bullet) \,.$$

Proof. The computation of $\mu(\lambda, [h_i])$ for $i = 1, \dots, r-2$ has been carried out in the proof of Lemma 4.13. Together with Lemma 4.20 the claim follows. ☐

References

1. M.E. Bate, B. Martin, G. Roehrle, and R. Tange, *Closed orbits and uniform s-instability in geometric invariant theory*, Transactions of the AMS **365** (2013), 3643–3673.
2. N. Beck, *Moduli of Decorated Swamps on a Smooth Projective Curve*, ArXiv e-prints (2014), arXiv:1408.1613.
3. N. Beck, *Modulräume dekorierter Prinzipalbündel auf einer projektiven Kurve*, Ph.D. thesis, Freie Universität Berlin, 2014, p. 185.
4. N. Beck, *Stable Parabolic Higgs Bundles as Asymptotically Stable Decorated Swamps*, ArXiv e-prints (2014), arXiv:1410.7710.
5. A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 126, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
6. C. De Concini and C. Procesi, *Complete symmetric varieties*, Invariant theory (Montecatini, 1982), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 996, Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp. 1–44.
7. W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, A first course, Readings in Mathematics.
8. A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. III*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1966), no. 28, 255.
9. J. Heinloth and A. H. W. Schmitt, *The cohomology rings of moduli stacks of principal bundles over curves*, Doc. Math. **15** (2010), 423–488.
10. L. Lafforgue, *Une compactification des champs classifiant les chtoucas de Drinfeld*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1998), no. 4, 1001–1036.
11. J. Le Potier, *Lectures on vector bundles*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 54, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997, Translated by A. Maciocia.
12. T. Ngô Dac, *Compactification des champs de chtoucas et théorie géométrique des invariants*, Astérisque (2007), no. 313, 124.
13. S. Ramanan and A. Ramanathan, *Some remarks on the instability flag*, Tohoku Mathematical Journal **36** (1984), no. 2, 269–291.
14. A. Ramanathan, *Moduli for principal bundles over algebraic curves. II.*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Math. Sci. **106** (1996), no. 4, 421–449.
15. A. H. W. Schmitt, *Geometric invariant theory and decorated principal bundles*, Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008.
16. C. T. Simpson, *Harmonic bundles on noncompact curves*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), no. 3, 713–770.

Mathematisches Institut, BTU Cottbus–Senftenberg, PF 101344, 03013 Cottbus, Germany
E-mail address, N. Beck: `nikolai.beck@b-tu.de`